

REFEREE'S REVIEW

Program:	Master in management (MIM), Concentration - International logistics & Supply Chain Management (IL&SCM)
Student:	Ekaterina Artemovich
Title of thesis:	MANAGING INFORMATION RISKS IN FREIGHT FORWARDING SEA CONTAINERS

Justification of the topic choice. Accuracy in defining the aim and objectives of the thesis. Justification of the topic choice; accuracy in defining the aim and tasks of the thesis; originality of the topic and the extent to which it was covered; alignment of the thesis' topic, aim and objectives.	4		
Structure and logic of the text flow. Logic of research; full scope of the thesis; alignment of thesis' structural parts, i.e. theoretical and empirical parts.		3	
Quality of analytical approach and quality of offered solution to the research objectives. Adequacy of objectives coverage; ability to formulate and convey the research problem; ability to offer options for its solution; application of the latest trends in relevant research are for the set objectives.	4		
Quality of data gathering and description. Quality of selecting research tools and methods; data validity adequacy; adequacy of used data for chosen research tools and methods; completeness and relevance of the list of references.	4		
Scientific aspect of the thesis. Independent scientific thinking in solving the set problem/objectives; the extent to which the student contributed to selecting and justifying the research model (conceptual and/or quantitative), developing methodology/approach to set objectives.		3	
Practical/applied nature of research. Extent to which the theoretical background is related to the international or Russian managerial practice; development of applied recommendations; justification and interpretation of the empirical/applied results.		3	
Quality of thesis layout. Layout fulfils the requirements of the Regulations for master thesis preparation and defence, correct layout of tables, figures, references.	4		

Each item above is evaluated on the following scale, as applicable: 5=the thesis meets all the requirements, 4=the thesis meets almost all the requirements, 3=a lot of requirements are not met in the thesis, 2=the thesis does not meet the requirements.

Additional comments:

Please, elaborate on the above mentioned criteria (we kindly ask you to provide your comments structured as strengths and weaknesses, maximum 5 for each, unless more points are crucial to justify the grade)

Justification of the topic choice. Accuracy in defining the aim and objectives of the thesis.