



UDC 811.14

Hdt. 4.79 διεπρήστευσε*

Alexander Nikolaev

Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München,
1, Geschwister-Scholl-Platz, München, D-80539, Deutschland; alexander.s.nikolaev@gmail.com

For citation: Nikolaev A. Hdt. 4.79 διεπρήστευσε. *Philologia Classica* 2023, 18 (1), 4–15.
<https://doi.org/10.21638/spbu20.2023.101>

This paper examines the form διεπρήστευσε, obelized in the editions of Herodotus. There have been two ways of interpreting the form: some scholars have taken it to refer to an act of speech, while others have sought here a verb of motion. Both groups of scholars proposed a variety of conjectures, some of them *addenda lexicis* and none of them commanding immediate acceptance. After a review of various solutions that have been proposed to-date, this paper argues in favor of retaining the reading of the paradosis and analyzing (-)πρήστεύω as a verb of quick motion. The verb is argued to be an Ionic colloquialism (or even Herodotus' own *Augenblicksbildung*), derived from the root of πίμπρημι in its original meaning 'to blow' (of which 'to burn' is a secondary development) via an agent noun πρηστήρ / *πρήστης, cf. μνηστεύω 'woo': μνηστήρ 'suitor' or δυναστεύω 'hold power': δυνάστης 'person with power'. The proposed translation 'blasted, dashed' is supported by typological parallels for the semantic development 'to blow' → 'to move (impetuously)', such as Old English *blæstan* 'to blow; to move impetuously' or Russian *dut'* 'to blow; to dash, to rush'. Interestingly, Hittite (*parip*) *parāi-*, the cognate of Gk. πίμπρημι, may show the same semantic development: 'to blow', 'to ignite fire by blowing' > 'to move swiftly'.

Keywords: agent nouns, etymology, Herodotus, Hittite, Indo-European reconstruction, paragogic -s-, textual criticism.

V. V. Zelchenko *quincuagesimum aetatis annum explenti*

According to Herodotus (4.78–80),¹ Skyles was the illegitimate child of the Scythian king Ariapithes; his mother taught him the Greek language and he was much given to

* I would like to record my gratitude to Roberto Batisti, Albio Cesare Cassio, Stefan Höfler, Craig Melchert, Sergio Neri, Norbert Oettinger, Dmitry Panchenko, Martin Peters, Zachary Rothstein-Dowden and David Sasseville who either commented on an earlier version of this paper or discussed individual problems with me; I claim full credit, however, for everything that needs improvement. I also gratefully acknowledge support from Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

© St. Petersburg State University, 2023

Greek customs. When he succeeded his father and became king, he started taking frequent trips to Olbia, a Milesian colony of the Borysthenites, which seems to have been a Scythian protectorate at the time:² there he would leave his retinue outside the city, shed his Scythian attire, change into Greek clothes and enjoy the Greek way of life for a few weeks before returning home. He was able to get away with his double life for some time, built a splendid house in Olbia, guarded by sphinxes and griffons in white marble, and even took a Greek woman (γυναῖκα ἐπιχωρίην) as his second wife. However, this cross-cultural experience did not end well for Skyles: he was initiated into the rites of Dionysus,³ but when a group of Scythians was able to secretly observe their king dancing through the streets in a state of Dionysiac madness, they — predictably — viewed this as a blatant transgression of Scythian religious practices. On the way back to Scythia, Skyles' people revolted against him, and he ended up beheaded by his own brother.⁴

In the middle of this fascinating story there is a problem of considerable textual and linguistic interest, namely, the second verbal form in the following sentence:

ἐπεῖτε δὲ ἐτελέσθη τῷ Βακχείῳ ὁ Σκύλης, διεπρήστυσε τῶν τις⁵ Βορυσθενείτων πρὸς τοὺς Σκύθας λέγων· Ἡμῖν γὰρ καταγελάτε, ὦ Σκύθαι, ὅτι βακχεύομεν καὶ ἡμᾶς ὁ θεὸς λαμβάνει· νῦν οὗτος ὁ δαίμων καὶ τὸν ὑμέτερον βασιλέα λελάβηκε, καὶ βακχεύει τε καὶ ὑπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ μαίνεται. εἰ δέ μοι ἀπιστέετε, ἐπεσθε, καὶ ὑμῖν ἐγὼ δέξω (Hdt. 4.79).

“As soon as Skyles was initiated, one of the Borysthenites *διεπρήστυσε* to/at the Scythians and said ‘you, Scythians, laugh at us because we celebrate Bacchus and the god takes possession of us. Well, now the same divinity has taken possession of your own king so that he is engaged in Bacchic frenzy and is driven mad by the god. If you do not trust me, follow me and I will show you.’”

¹ On Hdt. 4.78–80 in general, including the Dionysiac element of the story, see e.g. Henrichs 1994, 47–51; S. West 2007, 85–91; Hinge 2008; Agnolon 2020.

² See Vinogradov 1980, 77–78; 1989, 81–109.

³ On the possible relationship between this story and the bone tablets from Olbia (*SEG* 28.659) see M. West 1982, 25; for “Orphism” in Olbia in general see e.g. Vinogradov 1991; Zhmud’ 1992; Vinogradov 2000, 329–330.

⁴ Herodotus’ story may bear an uncanny resemblance both to the story of Anacharsis (Hdt. 4.76–77) and to the tragic tale of king Pentheus in Euripides’ *Bacchae*, but the historicity of king Skyles has found archeological support: around 1935, a golden signet ring bearing his name was found a few miles south of Istros (according to Herodotus, the birth place of Skyles’ mother), see Vinogradov 1981; Dubois 1996, 11–13. In addition, bronze coins bearing the letters ΣΚΥΛ(E) and datable to 450 BCE have been found in the remains of the ancient city of Niconium (see Zaginailo and Karyshkovskii 1990; Dubois 1996, 11). The inscription on the ring can be dated to early-to-middle fifth century (Vinogradov 1981, 12); Hornblower (2000, 132) dates the Scyles episode to about 460 BCE based on the synchronism with the Thracian dynasty of Teres and Sitalces. The Scythian aversion to Greek cults — as described by Herodotus — has likewise found archeological confirmation in the letter discovered in Olbia and dated to 550–530 (see Ivantchik 2005, 102, n. 160; A. V. Buisikh *apud* Braund 2007, 46, n. 31) in which a Greek priest complains to his colleague in Olbia that the locals (οἱ θηρευταὶ τῶν ἵππων — nomads?) had destroyed Greek altars (Dubois 1996, 55–63). On the general veracity of Herodotus’ Scythian logos, see e.g. the response by Pritchett (1993, 37–38, 191–226) to Hartog (1988, 62–84), as well as Bäbler 2011 and Ivantchik 2011.

⁵ For the typical Herodotean use of indefinite τις after the article in constructions with partitive genitive cf. 1.51 τῶν τις Δελφῶν; 1.85 τῶν τις Περσέων; 2.137 τῶν τις Αἰγυπτίων; 3.151 τῶν τις Βαβυλωνίων; 4.76 τῶν τις Σκυθέων; 8.90 τῶν τινες Φοινίκων, etc.

The verb διαπρήστειν is only attested here and its precise meaning is unknown;⁶ it is obelized by Rosén and Wilson, as well as by Bernabé (*OF* 563T).⁷ And yet, a form of this verb likely stood in the archetype of our Herodotean manuscripts:⁸ according to Wilson's *app.crit.*, διεπρήστεισε is transmitted in **D**, **R** and other mss. of the Roman family, while **A** (Laur. plut. 70.3, often hailed as the best manuscript of Herodotus) as well as **B** and **C** of the same Florentine family, have ἐπρήστεισε (preferred by Rosén).⁹ The purpose of this note is to clarify the form, meaning and etymology of this Herodotean *hapax*.

There have been two ways of interpreting the form: some scholars have taken it to refer to an act of speech (the Borysthenite giped at the Scythians as they were unaware that their king was participating in Greek rituals¹⁰), while others have sought here a verb of motion (the Borysthenite went to the Scythians to report that their king was participating in Greek rituals).¹¹ Both groups of scholars proposed a variety of conjectures, some of them *addenda lexicis* and none of them commanding immediate acceptance.¹² The stan-

⁶ Cf. Wesseling *apud* Schweighäuser 1820, 429: “de famoso ἐπρήστεισε sive διεπρήστεισε neque scio quid dicam aut coniectem”; Stein (1868, 239 n. 13): “das unverständliche διεπρήστεισε” (same formulation in Abicht 1886, 2.70); Stein (1883, 103): “das idiotische διεπρήστεισε”.

⁷ As well as by P. Maas in his annotated copy of Hude's edition, see Wilson 2011, 64; διεπρήστεισε is printed without daggers by Bähr and Hude.

⁸ Macan 1895, 55 aptly describes διεπρήστεισε as a “ἄπαξ λεγ., but almost certainly the true reading”.

⁹ Ms. **S** (Cambridge, Emmanuel College 30) has διεπίστεισε which is in all likelihood a gloss, possibly by the scribe himself (Andronicus Callistus); the form was, however, chosen by Passow (1841–1857, 1.657) as the true reading.

¹⁰ Stein 1893, 75, n. 13: “höhnern, spotten”; Godley 1920–1924, 2.281: “scoffed at the Scythians”; How & Wells 1928, 1.330: “giped at”; Horneffer 1971, 281: “sagte höhnend”; Marg 1985, 346: “wollte irgendein Borystheneite den Skythen eins auswischen (viz. to get back at them — A. N.) und sagte”; Grene 1987, 310: “made a joke of the matter”; Strassler 2007, 314: “taunted the Scythians”.

¹¹ Rawlinson 1880, 69: “went and carried the news”; Legrand 1932–1954, 4.96: “alla faire de gorges chaudes ches les Scythes” (for the “gorges chaudes” see below n. 26); Powell 1949, 304: “hastened without”; Braun 1985, 301: “lief ein Borysthenit zu den Skythen hinaus”; Waterfield 1998, 261: “hurried off”; Mensch 2014, 229: “went to the Scythians and said”; Frascetti in Asheri et al. 1993, 95: “si recò furtivamente”.

¹² The conjectures of which I am aware are listed below in chronological order. *Verbum loquendi vel sim.*: (1) διεπρέσβευσε ‘acted as an ambassador’ (Gale *apud* Wesseling 1763, 318, and, independently, Allen 1939, 45; but the Greek was not an envoy on an official mission); (2) διεπερίσσεισε ‘nimia loquacitate rem effutiit’, viz. ‘boasted’ (Reiz 1808, xviii, with a very forced translation of an unattested verb); (3) διεθρήσκεισε ‘divulged the sacred rites’ (Riemer 1823, 453: “Allein von Überlaufen ist dort gar nicht die Rede, sondern von Verrath u. Ausschwätzen religiöser Mysterien”, but the Scythians were unlikely to be interested in the details of the Greek ritual; the verb is unattested and the proposed meaning is utterly contrived); (4) διέπρησε ‘transegit’, viz. ‘traded (information)’ (Negris 1833, 343; syntactically difficult (with πρός) and semantically strained); (5) διεπρίστεισε ‘irritated’ (Badham 1869, 32; but πρίω is not found in figurative use until Menander, and the meaning ‘to gnaw, to chew on oneself’ is not quite the same as ‘to annoy someone’). *Verbum movendi*: (1) διέπεσε / διέπιπτε ‘escaped’ (Valckenaer *apud* Wesseling 1763, 318, endorsed by Schweighäuser 1820, 429, and Gaisford 1824, 3.486, even though the conjecture provides no paleographical account of the presumed corruption); (2) διεδρήστεισε ‘ran, fled’ (Schneider 1819 [1797], 326, followed by Schweighäuser 1824, 87; Dietsch(-Kallenberg) 1903–1906, 1.356 print impf. διεδρήστεισε; the unattested form is posited on the strength of Hsch. δ 2371 δρηστα· δραπετα. ἢ δυνατοί where Latte and, following him, Cunningham, have emended the first *interpretamentum* to οικήται, thus eliminating the noun *δρηστης ‘runner’, cf. aor. (ἀπ)ἔδραν, although δρηστήρ and δρηστις ‘fugitive’ are attested in Hellenistic poetry); (3) διεπέσπεισε ‘hurried across’ (Dietsch 1853, 412: “hatte daher ein Borystheneite nichts eiliger zu thun as den Skythen zu sagen”; but this conjecture is best suited to explain the marginal reading διεπίστεισε, see above n. 9; Dietsch gave this idea up in his own Teubner editions in which he printed διεπρήστεισε of the paradoxis in 1882 and conjectured διέδρη in 1876; in the revised edition Kallenberg printed Schneider's conjecture, see above); (4) διεκπερήσσεισε ‘went out hurriedly’ (Gebhardt 1857, 9; *non vidi*, cited from Rosén 1987, 1.396); (5) διερπήστεισε ‘crawled across, *perreptavit*’ (Stein 1868, 239, n. 13; the prefixed verb is unattested, but ἔρπω is indeed a verb of motion and ἐρπηστής ‘crawling animal, reptile’ is found in Nican-

dard lexis reflect this uncertainty: Powell (1938, 88) lists διεπρήστεισε with a meaning ‘hurry across’ but labels the word as “dubious”, the editors of the *DGE* similarly register their doubts and offer a tentative translation ‘montar en colera’ (ride in hot rage),¹³ while Bailly and the editors of the *LSJ9* thought that the transmitted form must be emended to (otherwise unattested!) διαδρηστεύω or διαδρηπετεύω (see n. 12 above).¹⁴ Montanari (*GI*), in a nifty bit of sleight of hand, has two entries, both citing Hdt. 4.79 as the only source: “διαδρηστεύω fuggire” (to flee) and “διαπρηστεύω infiammarsi” (to catch fire); in the second lemma the phrase in question is translated as “si prese la briga di andare a dire” (he took the trouble to say).¹⁵ The problem clearly warrants a re-examination.

In my opinion, it is prudent to accept the manuscript authority for (δι)επρήστεισε. As has been observed before, the hapax (δια)πρηστεύω is likely to be a colloquial Ionic verb.¹⁶ It is therefore not unreasonable to speculate that we are dealing with a familiar verb that underwent a special semantic development.

To echo Wilson (2015, 82), the key question is, what root is (δι)επρήστεισε supposed to derive from. In order to answer this question, we should analyze the morphological structure of the form which is in fact unproblematic. Even though originally verbs in -εύω were derived from agent nouns in -εύς (cf. ἀριστεύω ‘be the best, be the victor’ ← ἀριστεύς ‘the leader, the victor’ ← ἄριστος ‘best’), it is, in fact, unnecessary to posit a nominal stem *πρηστεύς: in post-Homeric Greek -εύω spread far beyond its original domain and encroached on the functions of denominative -έω.¹⁷ Therefore, a variety of nominal stems can be envisaged as the derivational basis of (δια)πρηστεύω: *πρήστης (cf. δυναστεύω ‘hold power’ ← δυνάστης ‘person with power’), *πρηστός (cf. πιστεύω ‘trust’ ← πιστός ‘trustworthy’), or — actually attested — πρηστήρ¹⁸ (cf. μνηστεύω ‘woo’ ← μνηστήρ ‘suitor’¹⁹). The -σ- in these nominal forms does not need to belong to the root (as

der); (6) διέδρη ἐντεῦθεν ‘ran across from there’ (Abicht 1886, 2.70; it is uncertain why such a familiar form as ἐντεῦθεν should have been garbled in the transmission); (7) διεπεράτεισε (Scheer 1880; *non vidi*, cited from Stein 1881, 103, but it is unclear why the verb derived from πέρας ‘limit’ and probably meaning something like ‘to complete’, cf. Hsch. π 1545 περατεύει· ὀργίζει, στέλλει, τελεί, should have the meaning ‘ἔφη’ in Herodotus; Scheer was probably misled by the last *interpretamentum* λέγει in Schmidt’s edition, correctly emended to τελεί already by Ruhnken, based on transposition of capital T and Γ); (8) διεδρηπέτεισε ‘ran, fled across’ (Dindorf 1887, vii, 206, commended by Blaydes 1901, 71 and Wilson 2015, 82; simplex δράπετεύω ‘flee’ is attested, but the meaning is not a good fit, since the verb is mostly used of runaway slaves and the Greek informant is not a fugitive from Olbia). Cf. Schuckburgh 1906, xxx: “[t]he sense required seems to be ‘to go in haste’ [...] but the corrections are none of them convincing”, echoed by S. West 2007, 90, n. 40: “[n]one of the various emendations suggested is very convincing”; see also Abicht 1870, 25–27, with critique of Valckenaer’s, Reiz’s and Negris’ conjectures; Dovatur et al. 1982, 319, n. 477; Vinogradov 1989, 102.

¹³ See Adrados 1980–, s. v.

¹⁴ See Bailly 2000, 468; *LSJ9* 393 (no revisions in the 1996 *Supplement*). The *Intermediate Greek-English Dictionary* (the “Middle Liddle”) followed *LSJ9* in cross-referencing the entry “διαπρηστεύω” with the one for “διαδρηστεύω”; its replacement, the *Cambridge Greek Lexicon*, does not include the form, even though Herodotus is otherwise covered: presumably the difficult form is omitted because it has been obelized in the editions.

¹⁵ Montanari 1995, 496, 514 (for English translations see Montanari 2015: 485, 504).

¹⁶ Stein 1893, 75, n. 13 “ein sonst nicht bekanntes Wort, wahrscheinlich aus der derben Volkssprache”, followed by How–Wells 1928, 1.330; Legrand 1932–1954, 4.96, n. 2: “probablement un mot d’usage local et familier”. The label “colloquial” simply reflects the fact that the verb is not found in literary sources.

¹⁷ See Fraenkel 1906, 172–224, esp. 205–208; Schwyzer 1939, 732; Risch 1974, 333.

¹⁸ In colloquial Ionic, πρηστήρ may have been replaced with πρήστης, cf. δρήστης (Archil. fr. 119.1 W.²) vs δρηστήρ ‘worker’, see Fraenkel 1910, 207–208.

¹⁹ Historically μνηστεύω may be best analyzed as a semantic causative to μνηστός ‘wedded’, but synchronically the speakers were likely to make a direct connection between μνηστεύω and μνηστήρ.

it does e. g. in ξεστός ‘hewn’, ξέστης ‘jug’ from ξέω ‘shape, carve’): it may come from the underlying *s*-stem verbal noun (cf. τελέστης ‘officiating priest’ ← τέλος ‘offering, rite’ or γελαστός ‘laughable’ ← γέλωσ / *γέλας ‘laughter’), it can originate in the combination of the final **d* or **d*^h of the verbal root (or stem) with a dental consonant of the suffix, e. g. θαυμαστός ‘wonderful’ < **-d-to-* (cf. θαυμάζω ‘to wonder’), or πιστός ‘trustworthy’ < **-d^h-to-* (cf. πειθω ‘persuade, convince’)²⁰ or it may be “paragogic”, taken over from the tense stems that contained an *-s-*, such as aor. in *-σ(σ)α*, fut. in *-σ(σ)ω*, aor. pass. in *-σθην* and perf. mid. in *-σμαι*.²¹

The purpose of this linguistic exercise is to identify the root of verb (δια)πρηστεύω as πρη-. There is only one root of this structure in Greek, namely, the root of πίμπρημι, πρήθω, (ἐν)έπρησα, etc. At the first sight, the derivation of (-)πρηστεύω from πρήθω- would provide a neat explanation for the *-σ-* (cf. πιστεύω ← πιστός < **b^hid^h-to-*, as above), under the assumption that the *-θε/ο-* in πρήθω is an inherited suffix.²² But the transitive present stem πρήθω only occurs once in all of attested Greek (*Il.* 9.589 ἐνέπρηθον) and it may be better explained as an artificial form, a *Reimbildung* to πλήθω.²³ If there is no evidence for inherited *πρηθ-, the *-σ-* in (δια)πρηστεύω, πρηστήρ made from πρη-, aor. (ἐ)πρησα-, etc. is best explained as paragogic, comparable to δυναστεύω ← δυνάστης which must have replaced *δυνάτης (cf. δύναμαι) after ἐδυνάσθη.²⁴

The realization of the root connection with πρη- must have been responsible for such translations of (δια)πρηστεύειν as ‘(to be) in hot haste’ (Schuckburgh 1906, 174²⁵), ‘to catch fire’ (*GI*) or ‘to ride in hot rage’ (*DGE*).²⁶ Indeed, the familiar meaning of πίμπρημι and πρήθω is ‘to burn, to put on fire; to be inflamed’: the dreadful Capaneus’ battle-cry at Aesch. *Sept.* 434 is πρήσω πόλιν ‘I will burn the city’, at Soph. *Ant.* 201 Polyneices similarly sought to burn his city from top to bottom (ἠθέλησε μὲν πυρί / πρήσαι κατ’ ἄκρας) and at Ar. *Lys.* 341 the chorus of women is praying to Athena Polias to not see their comrades burned to coal (γυναῖκας ἀνθρακεύειν ... (ῥ)μιπιμπραμένας). The same meaning ‘to burn’ is found among the derivatives, e. g. ἔμπρησις ‘setting on fire’ or πρηδών ‘inflamma-

²⁰ Another example showing *-στ-* from **-d^ht-* is ἄλαστος which must have originally meant ‘impossible to forget’ (cf. λήθω ‘forget’) but eventually became specialized in a negative sense (the expression πένθος ἄλαστον may have been the starting point) and came to mean ‘terrible, insufferable’ (see Cassio 2007, 30–31).

²¹ Schwyzer 1939, 500 (*-στης* “mit unetymologischem σ”), 503 (*-στός*), 524 (*-σμα* “nach Verbalformen”), 775.

²² Cf. **pl(e)h₁-d^he/o-* > πλήθω, Old Avestan *frāda-* ‘become full’ (vs πίμπλημι ‘fill’).

²³ For an analysis of the Homeric hapax ἐνέπρηθον as a *kunstsprachlich* form see Rothstein-Dowden 2022, 48–49 who posits the following analogical proportion: πίμπλημι : πί(μ)πρημι = ἔπρησα : πρησα = πλήθω : X, where X is resolved as πρήθω.

²⁴ See Fraenkel 1910, 208–209 and compare further ἀρυ(σ)τήρ ‘bowl for drawing water’ (ἄρυ-σα-), ἔλκυστήρ ‘forceps, tool for pulling out’ (εἴλκυ-σα-), ζωστήρ ‘belt, tool for girdling’ (ἔζω-σα-), κνηστήρ ‘grater’ (ἔκνη-σα-), κρεμαστήρ ‘hanger’ (κρέμα-σα-), etc.

²⁵ “It may be connected with the root seen in πρηστήρ (πίμπρημι).”

²⁶ In fact, the last two translations, at least, may have been inspired by P. Chantraine’s remark: “πρηστεύειν dérive-t-il [...] de la racine de πίμπρημι” (*apud* Legrand 1932–1954, 4.96, n.2; Chantraine does not mention the Herodotean hapax in his etymological dictionary). Legrand continues: “et est-il dit ici de quelqu’un qui est tout enflammé, tout brûlant, tout fumant, pas de colère, mais de malice impatiente”. He compares πρημονάω (Herod. 6.8) which seems to mean ‘to puff, to seethe (with anger)’ (Cunningham 1971, 163), but in any event it is far from clear why the inhabitant of Olbia should have been angry at the fact that Scyles was initiated into the mysteries.

tion.²⁷ But the semantics of ‘burning’ will not be of much help with the Herodotus’ passage, as the strained translations cited above have shown, and the preposition πρὸς adds a further syntactical difficulty.²⁸

However, ‘to burn’ is not the only meaning of πύμπρημι, nor is it historically the primary one. The original meaning of the verbal root was ‘to blow’ (of the wind), ‘to swell from blowing’, well-attested in Greek texts, cf. *Il.* 1.481 (≅ *Od.* 2.427) ἐν δ’ ἄνεμος πρῆσεν μέσον ιστίων ‘and the wind blew into the middle of the sail’, and the blast of Hephaestus’ bellows is described as εὐπρηστος ἀντιμή (*Il.* 18.471). The semantics of blowing clearly underlies the noun πρηστήρ ‘hurricane; bellows’ (cf. Hes. *Th.* 846 πρηστήρων ἀνέμων), as emphasized by Lebedev 2014, 339, in his discussion of Heraclitus fr. 44 (= B 31 A Diels–Kranz). Following Buttman 1865, 99–105, C. P. de Putter (*Lfgre* 3.1533) posits ‘durch Blasen expandieren lassen’ as the original meaning of Greek πρη-, whence ‘verbrennen’ via ‘jaillir, herausschlagen von Flammen’. The etymology of the verb confirms this conclusion: Hittite *parāi-*, reduplicated *parip(p)arāi-* means ‘to blow’ (e.g. a wind instrument).²⁹ The meaning ‘to blow’ has long been on the books for πύμπρημι and πρήθω, but it seems to have escaped the attention of Herodotean scholars.

I agree with Abicht³⁰ and other authorities, cited above, that we need a verb of rapid motion at Hdt. 4.79 (the Greek informant would have been in a hurry to make sure that the Scythians arrive in time to witness their king in the state of μανία) and I think that πρη- ‘to blow’ can conceivably have developed just this meaning in colloquial use. A welcome parallel is found in Modern English *blast* which can refer to a variety of loud or forceful actions (including quick motion), but its Old English forebear *bláestan* (etymologically related to *blow* and Latin *flāre*) is attested in just two meanings: ‘to blow’ and ‘to move

²⁷ But Ar. *Nub.* 336 πρημαινούσας τε θυέλλας refers to ‘gale-blast storms’; the translation ‘to suffer violently’ (Montanari 2015, 1739) is unlikely: Aristophanes’ choice of πρημαίνω may have been inspired by immediately preceding Τυφῶ who was believed to generate storm-winds (cf. Hes. *Th.* 869).

²⁸ The problem was succinctly formulated by A. Corcella: “the (δι)επρήστουσε of the mss. in a *hapax* which can only have the meaning of ‘to inflame’ [...] A verb is needed which denotes a stealthy movement” (Asheri et al. 2007, 639).

²⁹ Another cognate is the Plautine *hapax* *exprētus*, compared to **preh₁-* by Klingenschmitt 1989: Plaut. *Bacch.* 446 *it magister quasi lucerna uncto expretus linteo* “the teacher goes like a lamp, extinguished, when the wick is still drenched” (trans. de Melo); the best way to extinguish an oil lamp is by blowing at it (cf. Ar. *Ran.* 1098 φουσῶν τὴν λαμπάδα). Slavic **para*, Old Church Slavonic *para* ‘vapor, ἀτμός’, probably belongs to the same root, combining the meanings of ‘hot’ and ‘blown air’ (**para* < **porh₁-eh₂-* with expectable *Schwebelaut*; despite the outer similarity with the Slavic form, Hitt. *parā-* ‘breath; blown air’ is probably of inner-Hittite coinage). Russian *pret* ‘perspire’ is customarily taken from **preh₁-je/o-*, too. Finally, Heidermanns (1993, 206–7) compared isolated Old High German *frat* ‘foul, purulent’ (< PGmc. **frada-* ‘inflamed’): if correct, this comparison suggests that the semantics of inflammation may be fairly old with the root **preh₁-*. The phonology is not straightforward (Heidermanns’ preform **prh₁-tō-* would probably have given Gmc. **furda-*), but *frat* < Gmc. **frada-* may represent a back-formation to the (unattested) verbal strong stem **frō-* (< **pe)proh₁-*) on the pattern of e.g. **stō-* : **stada-* (full-grade **frō-* may be continued in Old Frisian *bēn-frōtha* ‘injury of the bone, if related). Alternatively, Gmc. **frada-* may go back to adj. **prh₁oto-* ‘inflamed’, back-formed to **prh₁oteh₂-* ‘inflammation’, an adjective abstract of the type **prh₂o-teh₂* ‘love’ (Ved. *priyātā*, OE *freód*) < **prh₂o-* ‘dear’ (Old Avestan *frīia-*, Middle Welsh *rhydd* ‘free’). After the loss of the laryngeal, the form **pr(h₁)oteh₂-* would be resyllabified and resulting **proto-* would give Gmc. **frada-*, cf. Gmc. **krana-* ‘crane’ < **gr(h₂)anó-* < **grh₂-en-ó-* ‘crane’ (cf. Greek γέρανος, Middle Welsh *garan* < **gérh₂-en-o-*; see Neri 2017, 222 n. 71). I thank Sergio Neri for his input to the discussion of the Germanic material in this note.

³⁰ Abicht 1870, 26: “Ratiocinandi necessitas requirit fugiendi verbum, quo scriptor indicet, Borys-thenitam inter muri custodes elapsam ad Scythas transfugisse”.

impetuously, hurl oneself'.³¹ For Russian *dut'* (*дымь*) 'to blow' the authoritative dictionary offers the meaning "4. *intrans. colloq.* to move fast; to dash, to rush".³² As a general parallel for the connection between blowing (of the wind) and the idea of rapidity compare Lat. *vēlōx* 'fast' < *'wind-like' from the root **h₂ueh₁-* 'to blow'³³ (Greek ἄημι, Latin *ventus*).³⁴

Interestingly, the polysemy 'to blow' ~ 'to move (fast)' may be observed with another descendant of **preh₁-*, the PIE root of Greek πρη-. While the usual meaning of Hittite *parai-* is 'to blow',³⁵ including, just as in (Proto-)Greek, 'to ignite fire by blowing',³⁶ the form *parāi* is twice used to denote motion of insects, e.g. KUB 8.1 ii 16–7 KUR-*e anda mašaš parāi* BURU₁₄.HJ. A *karāpi* 'The locust will *parāi* in the land and devour the crops'. The verb *parāi* may be translated here as 'will blow in', especially because a swarm of locusts can easily be visualized as a cloud. Most authorities have assigned the meanings 'to blow' and 'to move' to two different (and unrelated) Hittite verbs,³⁷ but some have treated this range of meanings as a real polysemy,³⁸ in which case it may serve as another typological parallel to the semantic development 'blow' > 'move' posited here for Greek πρη-.

The proposed solution to the problem of the Herodotean hapax (-)πρηστέω is thus as follows: this colloquial verb (or even possibly Herodotus' own *Augenblicksbildung*) goes back to the same root as πίμπρημι and πρήθω in the original meaning 'to blow' and shows a semantic development from 'blowing' to 'moving (impetuously)', supported by a range of parallels. It is possible to theorize that (-)πρηστέω was formed directly from πρηστήρ

³¹ Cf. the glosses for *ōbblēstan* 'erumperant', *onblēstan* 'irrumperant' (see Bosworth-Toller 1898, 96; DOE s. v. *blēstan*) and further Old High German *blesten* 'to advance, to descend' (see EWAhd 2.178).

³² See Gorbachevich, Gerd 2004–, 5.441. There are other parallels to be found in Russian dialects, e.g. *pofúkat'* (*нофýкамь*) recorded in Vologda in the meaning 'to dash' and derived from (onomatopoetic) *fúkat'* 'to blow'.

³³ The Indo-European root **h₂ueh₁-* 'to blow' may, perhaps, provide another parallel for 'blow' > 'move (rapidly)': this root made a participle **h₂uh₁-ent-* 'blowing' (> Hittite *huwant-* 'wind'), a derivative of which is the widespread word **h₂ueh₁-nt-o-* 'wind' (> Latin *ventus*, Vedic *vāta-*, Tocharian B *yente*, English *wind*); next to it, we find a very similar root **h₂euh₁-* that means to 'run' in Anatolian (Hittite *huwai-*, Luwian */hwiya-/*) but 'run to help' > 'succor' (Vedic *avⁱ-*, *ūtá-* 'to help', Latin *iuuāre* 'id.') or 'run to' > 'long for' (Latin *auēre* 'to desire', Welsh *ewyllys* 'appetite, lust', Greek (Doric) *áitās* 'eromenos', *ἐνῆης* 'friendly') in Core Indo-European, see García Ramón 2012. It is quite possible, in my opinion, that these two roots are related, with **h₂euh₁-* 'run' back-formed to **h₂ueh₁-* 'blow' (note the participle **h₂uh₁-ent-* as a possible *Scharnierform*), see Oettinger 2022: 64–65. However, the *opinio communis* is that **h₂euh₁-* and **h₂ueh₁-* are etymologically distinct, see e.g. Rix 2001, 243–244, 274, 287; García Ramón 2016, 72, n. 49.

³⁴ Another Latin parallel may be found in the verb *a(d)spirāre* which usually means 'to breathe; to blow' but is also attested in the meaning 'to move near; to approach' (lit. 'to blow toward'), e.g. Lucil. 842 Marx: *qui prope ad ostium aspiraverint* 'any who have moved close to the door'; Cic. *Fam.* 33 Shackleton Bailey: *ab eo ad quem ceteri, non propter superbiam eius sed propter occupationem, adspirare non possunt* 'whom other people — not because of his pride, but of his many engagements — cannot venture to approach'; Sil. 5.442–423: *aspirare viro propioremque addere Martem / haud ausum cuiquam* 'none dared to approach him (viz. Othrys) or fight him at close quarters'.

³⁵ E.g. KBo 24.106 ii 22 ^{LU}BALAG.DI *šauwatar 2-ŠU parāi* 'the harpist blows the horn twice'; KUB 2.3 ii 30 *šawatarr=a 3-ŠU pariyanzi* '[they] blow the horn thrice'; KUB 43.58 i 16 *arḫa=ma=at dān pariyan* 'but it (viz. stew in a bowl) is blown off (viz. cooled off) for a second time'.

³⁶ E.g. KBo 34.38 i 3 *paḫḫur parāi* 'he fans fire', KUB 10.88 i 8 *paḫḫurr=a pariyanzi* 'and they fan fire'.

³⁷ See Güterbock, Hoffner 1997, 134. The form *parannaš* cited under *parai-*_B is now read as *kurannaš*, see van den Hout 2010, 400.

³⁸ See Puhvel 2011, 109–112. There may be evidence for a related verb of motion in other Anatolian languages but it is even more uncertain: in the Palaic version of the ritual for a disappearing deity (KUB 35.168, 3') we read *n]=an šit nit=kuwat parāi* 'the eagle) pressed him (the god), (but) he (the god) did not *parai-* at all'. In hieroglyphic Luwian texts we find *ARHA para-* which seems to mean 'to disappear' (< 'to blow away'?).

‘hurricane’, based on pairs like ληστεύω ‘to be a pirate; to pillage’ : ληστήρ ‘plunderer’ or μνηστεύω ‘to woo’ : μνηστήρ ‘suitor’ (which, as mentioned above, do not necessarily represent historically correct morphological derivation but may have easily been reanalyzed by the speakers as valid derivational chains).

As Vinogradov (1989, 102) noted, the prefix δια- is important. In Herodotus’ story, Scyles is the only one who moves freely between the Scythian camp (ἐν τῷ προαστίῳ) and the town of Olbia, but the Greek and the Scythian spaces are separated: Scyles’ practice was to have the gates locked (Hdt. 4.78 καὶ τὰς πύλας ἐγκλήσειε) while the Borysthenites kept watch (τὰς δὲ πύλας ἐφύλασσον, μή τις μιν Σκυθῶν ἴδοι ἔχοντα ταύτην τὴν στόλην).³⁹ The resident of Olbia who was keen to inform the Scythians as soon as possible that their king was dancing in the streets in a state of Dionysiac madness, would therefore have to circumvent these measures by escaping the town, possibly over the wall (δια-). If (-)πρηστεύω is analyzed as a verb of swift motion, as argued above, the Herodotean phrase διεπρήστευσε τῶν τις Βορυσθενεϊτέων πρὸς τοὺς Σκύθας can be translated as “one of the Borysthenites blasted over to the Scythians” or, in Russian, “дунул к Скифам”.⁴⁰

References

- Abicht C. *De codicum Herodoti fide atque auctoritate*. Berlin, Calvary, [1870].
- Abicht K. *Herodotos für den Schulgebrauch erklärt, nebst Einleitung und Übersicht über den Dialekt*. Leipzig, Teubner, ³1886.
- Adrados F.R. (ed.) *Diccionario griego-español*. Madrid, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, 1980–.
- Agnolon A. Cosmopolitanism and Contingency in Herodotus: Myth and Tragedy in the Book IV of the *Histories*, in: Th. Figueira and C. Soares (eds) *Ethnicity and Identity in Herodotus*. London; New York, Routledge, 2020, 159–177.
- Allen T. W. *Adversaria V. RPh* 1939, 13, 44–46.
- Asheri D., Corcella A., Frascchetti A., Medaglia S. (ed., transl., comm.) *Erodoto. Le storie*. Vol. 4: *La Scizia e la Libia*. Milan, Mondadori, 1993.
- Asheri D., Lloyd A. B., Corcella A. *A Commentary on Herodotus: Books I–IV*, ed. by O. Murray and A. Moreno. Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2007.
- Bäbler B. Das Land der Skythen — ein Wolkenkuckucksheim Herodots? in: N. Povalahev and V. Kuznetsov (eds) *Phanagoreia, Kimmerischer Bosphorus, Pontos Euxeinos*. Göttingen, Cuvillier, 2011, 103–142.
- Badham Ch. *Adhortatio ad juventutem academicam Sydneensem*. Sydney, Gibbs, 1869.
- Bailly A. *Dictionnaire grec-français*, rédigé avec le concours de E. Egger, édition revue par L. Séchan et P. Chantraine. Paris, Hachette, ⁴2000.
- Blaydes F.H.M. *Adversaria in Herodotum*. Halle (Saale), Waisenhaus, 1901.
- Bosworth J. *An Anglo-Saxon dictionary, based on the manuscript collections of the late Joseph Bosworth*, edited and enlarged by T.N. Toller. Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1898.
- Braun Th. (transl.) *Herodot. Das Geschichtswerk*. Berlin, Aufbau Verlag, ²1985.
- Braund D. Greater Olbia: Ethnic, Religious, Economic, and Political Interactions in the Region of Olbia, c. 600–100 BC, in: D. Braund and S.D. Kryzhitskiy (eds) *Classical Olbia and the Scythian World from*

³⁹ Cf. Gaisford 1924, 3.486 (in support of a hesitantly entertained emendation διέπεσε, also favored by Valckenaer): “debut enim, dum portis oclusis sacris rex Graecanicis operaretur, insciis custodibus urbe delator elabi” (emphasis Gaisford’s).

⁴⁰ Ultimately, I suppose, different scholars’ native languages may inform their judgement on this matter: while my solution is prompted by Latin *vēlōx*, Old English *blæstan* and Russian *dut’*, Martin Peters has pointed out to me German *durchbrennen* ‘to move quickly’, while Albio Cesare Cassio signals Italian *una soffiata* ‘a tip-off’ and suggests “Uno degli abitanti di Boristene fece una soffiata agli Sciti dicendo: ‘voi ci deridete...’”

- the Sixth Century BC to the Second Century AD.* (Proceedings of the British Academy 142). Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2007, 33–77.
- Buttmann Ph. *Lexilogus oder Beiträge zur griechischen Worterklärung hauptsächlich für Homer und Hesiod.* Berlin, Mylius, 41865.
- Cassio A. C. Two Lead Tablets from Dodona, in: M. B. Hatzopoulos and V. Psilakakou (eds) *Φωνής χαρακτήρ εθνικός. Actes du Ve congrès international de dialectologie grecque (Athènes 28–30 septembre 2006).* Athenes, Kentron Hellēnikēs kai Rōmaikēs Archaioētōtos, 2007, 29–34.
- Cunningham I. C. (ed., comm.) *Herodas. Mimiambi.* Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1971.
- Dietsch H. R. (ed.) *Herodoti Historiarum libri IX*, ed. by H. Kallenberg. Leipzig, Teubner, 21903–1906.
- Dietsch R. Review of B. H. Lhardy (comm.) *Herodotos.* Leipzig, Weidmann, 1850. *Neue Jahrbücher für Philologie und Paedagogik* 1853, 23, 399–414.
- Dindorf G. (W.) (ed., comm.) *Historiarum libri IX.* Paris, Didot, 1887.
- DOE = *Dictionary of Old English: A to I online*, ed. by A. Cameron, A. C. Amos, A. di Paolo Healey et al. Toronto, Dictionary of Old English Project, 2018.
- Dovatur A. I., Kallistov D. I., Shishova I. A. (transl., comm.) *The Peoples of our Country in Herodotus' Histories: Texts, Translations, Commentary* [Narody nashei strany v "Istorii" Gerodota: teksty, perevod, komentarii]. Moscow, Nauka Publ., 1982.
- Dubois L. (ed., comm.) *Inscriptions grecques dialectales d'Olbia du Pont.* Genève, Droz, 1996.
- EWAhD = A. L. Lloyd, R. Lühr & O. Springer (eds) *Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Althochdeutschen.* Göttingen, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1988–.
- Fraenkel E. *Griechische Denominativa in ihrer geschichtlichen Entwicklung und Verbreitung.* Göttingen, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1906.
- Fraenkel E. *Geschichte der griechischen Nomina agentis auf -τήρ, -τωρ, -της (-τ-).* Erster Teil: *Entwicklung und Verbreitung der Nomina im Epos, in der Elegie und in den außerionisch-attischen Dialekten.* Straßburg, Trübner, 1910.
- Gaisford Th. (ed., comm.) *Herodoti Halicarnassei historiarum libri IX.* Oxford, Joseph Parker, 1924.
- García Ramón J. L. Vedic *indrotá-* in the Ancient Near East and the Shift of PIE **h₂euḥ₁-* 'run' → Core IE 'help, favor', in: D. Gunkel, J. T. Katz, B. Vine and M. Weiss (eds) *Sahasram Ati Srajas: Indo-Iranian and Indo-European Studies in Honor of Stephanie W. Jamison.* Ann Arbor; New York, Beech Stave Press, 2016, 64–81.
- García Ramón J. L. From *run* to *desire*: Lat. *auēre* 'desire, be eager, long (for)' and **h₂euḥ₁-* 'run (to/for)', Lat. *accersere* 'go forth', 'fetch' and Toch. B *ñäsk-* 'desire', Ved. *aviṣ-yú-* 'greedy', in: V. Orioles (ed.) *Per Roberto Gusmani. Studi in ricordo*, vol. 2: *Linguistica storica e teorica.* Udine, Forum, 2012, 151–166.
- Gebhardt G. A. *Emendationum Herodotearum pars II.* (Programm des Gymnasiums in Hof). Hof a. d. Saale, Mintzel, 1857 (*non vidi*).
- Godley A. D. (transl.) *Herodotus. The Persian Wars.* 4 vols. (Loeb Classical Library 117–120). Cambridge, Mass., Harvard University Press, 1920–1924.
- Gorbachevich K. S., Gerd A. S. (eds) *The Great Academic Dictionary of the Russian Language* [Bol'shoj akademicheskij slovar' russkogo iazyka]. Moscow; St. Petersburg, Nauka Publ., 2004–.
- Grene D. (transl.) *Herodotus. The History.* Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 1987.
- Güterbock H. G., Hoffner H. A. (eds) *The Hittite Dictionary of the Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago.* Vol. P. Chicago, Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago, 1997.
- Hartog F. *The Mirror of Herodotus: The Representation of the Other in the Writing of History*, translated by J. Lloyd. Berkeley, University of California Press, 1988.
- Heidermanns F. *Etymologisches Wörterbuch der germanischen Primäradjektive.* Berlin; New York, de Gruyter, 1993.
- Henrichs A. Der rasende Gott: Zur Psychologie des Dionysos und des Dionysischen in Mythos und Literatur. *Antike und Abendland* 1994, 40, 31–58.
- Hinge G. Dionysos and Herakles in Scythia — the eschatological sting of Herodotos' Book 4, in: P. G. Bilde and J. H. Petersen (eds) *Meetings of Cultures in the Black Sea Region: Between Conflicts and Coexistence.* Aarhus, University Press, 2008, 369–398.
- Hornblower S. Personal Names and the Study of the Ancient Greek Historians, in: S. Hornblower and E. Matthews (eds) *Greek Personal Names: Their Value as Evidence.* (Proceedings of the British Academy 104). Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2000, 129–143.

- Horneffer A. (transl.) *Herodot. Historien. Deutsche Gesamtausgabe*. Stuttgart, Kröner, 1971.
- How W. W. and J. Wells. *A Commentary on Herodotus*. Oxford, Oxford University Press, ²1928.
- Ivanchik A. I. *Am Vorabend der Kolonisation. Das nördliche Schwarzmeergebiet und die Steppennomaden des 8.–7. Jhs. v. Chr. in der klassischen Literaturtradition: Mündliche Überlieferung, Literatur und Geschichte [Nakanune kolonizatsii. Severnoe Prichernomor'e i stepnye kochevniki VIII–VII vv. do n. e. v antichnoi literaturnoi traditsii: fol'klor, literatura i istoria]*. Moscow; Berlin, Paleograph, 2005 (in Russian).
- Ivanchik A. I. The Funeral of Scythian Kings: The Historical Reality and the Description of Herodotus (IV, 71–72), in: L. Bonfante (ed.) *The Barbarians of Ancient Europe: Realities and Interactions*. New York, Cambridge University Press, 2011, 71–106.
- Klingenschmitt G. Altlateinisch *exprētus* (Plaut. Bacch. 446), in: K. Heller et al. (eds) *Indogermania Europaea. Festschrift für Wolfgang Meid zum 60. Geburtstag*. Graz, Institut für Sprachwissenschaft, 1989, 79–100 (reprinted in: *Aufsätze zur Indogermanistik*, ed. by M. Janda, R. Lühr, J. Matzinger and S. Schaffner. Hamburg, Kovač, 269–283).
- Lebedev A. V. *Heraclitus' Logos: A Reconstruction of his Thought and Word [Logos Geraklita: Rekonstruktsiia myсли i slova]*. St. Petersburg, Nauka Publ., 2014 (in Russian).
- Legrand Ph. E. (ed., transl., comm.) *Hérodote. Histoires*. 4 vols. Paris, Les Belles Lettres, 1932–1954.
- Macan R. W. (ed., comm.) *Herodotos: The Fourth, Fifth and Sixth Books*. London, Macmillan, 1895.
- Marg W. (transl.) *Herodot: Geschichten und Geschichte*. 2 vols. Zürich; München, Artemis, 1985.
- Mensch P. (transl.) *Herodotus. Histories*. Indianapolis, Hackett, 2014.
- Montanari F. *Vocabolario della lingua greca*. Milan, Loescher, 1995.
- Montanari F. *The Brill Dictionary of Ancient Greek*, ed. by M. Goh and C. Schroeder. Leiden; Boston, Brill, 2015.
- Negris A. (ed., comm.) *The History of Herodotus of Halicarnassus*. Edinburgh, Clark, 1833.
- Neri S. *Wetter. Etymologie und Lautgesetz*. Perugia, Università degli Studi di Perugia, 2017.
- Oettinger N. Οσογωλλις als ‚Zeus Stratios‘ in Karien, lyk. *ese-* und heth. *huwai-ⁱ / hui-* ‚sich dahinbewegen‘. *Münchener Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft* 2022, 74, 61–72.
- Passow F. *Handwörterbuch der griechischen Sprache*. Leipzig, Vogel, ⁵1841–1857.
- Powell J. E. *A Lexicon to Herodotus*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1938.
- Powell J. E. (transl.) *Herodotus*. Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1949.
- Pritchett W. K. *The Liar School of Herodotus*. Amsterdam, Gieben, 1993.
- Puhvel J. *Hittite Etymological Dictionary*. Vol. 8: *Words Beginning with PA*. Berlin; New York, de Gruyter, 2011.
- Rawlinson G. (transl., comm.) *The History of Herodotus: A New English Version*. London, Murray, ⁴1880.
- Reiz F. V. (ed.) *Herodoti Halicarnassei historiarum libri IX*. Oxford, Cooke and Parker, 1808.
- Riemer F. W. *Griechisch-deutsches Wörterbuch für Anfänger und Freunde der griechischen Sprache*. Bd. 1: A — K. Jena, Frommann, ⁴1823.
- Risch E. *Wortbildung der homerischen Sprache*. Berlin; New York, de Gruyter, 1974.
- Rix H. *Lexikon der indogermanischen Verben*, ed. by M. Kümmel et al. Wiesbaden, Reichert, ²2001.
- Rosén H. B. *Herodoti Historiae*. Leipzig, Teubner, 1987.
- Rothstein-Dowden Z. *Dental-aspirate presents in Greek and Indo-European*. Harvard University Ph. D. dissertation, 2022.
- Schneider J. G. *Griechisch-deutsches Wörterbuch*. Leipzig, Teubner, ³1819.
- Schuckburgh E. S. *Herodotos IV: Melpomene*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1906.
- Schweighäuser J. *Adnotationes in Herodoti Musas sive Historias*. Glasgow, Duncan, 1820.
- Schweighäuser J. *Lexicon herodoteum*. London, Valpy, 1824.
- Schwyzler E. *Griechische Grammatik*. Vol. 1. Munich, Beck, 1939.
- Stein H. (ed., comm.) *Herodotos*. Vol. 2. Berlin, Weidmann, ²1868.
- Stein H. Jahresbericht über Herodot für 1880. *Jahresbericht über die Fortschritte der classischen Alterthums-wissenschaft* 1881 [1883], 26, Jg. 9, 96–108.
- Stein H. (ed., comm.) *Herodotos*. Vol. 2. Berlin, Weidmann, ⁴1893.
- Strassler R. B. (transl.) *The Landmark Herodotus: The Histories*. New York, Pantheon, 2007.
- van den Hout Th. Randnotizen zu einigen Briefen aus Maşat Höyük, in: J. C. Fincke (ed.) *Festschrift für Gernot Wilhelm anlässlich seines 65. Geburtstages am 28. Januar 2010*. Dresden, ISLET, 2010, 395–402.

- Vinogradov J.G. Die historische Entwicklung der Poleis des nördlichen Schwarzmeergebietes im 5. Jahrhundert v. Chr. *Chiron* 1980, 10, 63–100 (reprinted in: *Pontische Studien: Kleine Schriften zur Geschichte und Epigraphik des Schwarzmeerraumes*. Mainz, von Zabern, 1997, 100–132).
- Vinogradov J.G. Lanello del re Skyles. Storia politica e dinastica degli Sciti nella prima metà del V sec. a. C. *Epigraphica* 1981, 43/1–2, 9–37 (reprinted in: *Pontische Studien: Kleine Schriften zur Geschichte und Epigraphik des Schwarzmeerraumes*. Mainz, von Zabern, 1997, 613–633).
- Vinogradov J.G. Zur sachlichen und geschichtlichen Deutung der Orphiker-Plättchen von Olbia, in: Ph. Borgeaud (ed.) *Orphisme et Orphée: en l'honneur de Jean Rudhardt*. (Recherches et rencontres 3). Genève, Droz, 1991, 77–86.
- Vinogradov J.G. Review of Dubois 1996. *Gnomon* 2000, 72, 324–330.
- Vinogradov Iu.G. *The Political History of Olbia in the 7th–1st cent. BCE: A Historical-Epigraphic Study* [Politicheskaia istoriia ol'viiskogo polisa VII–I vv. do n. e.: istoriko-epigraficheskoe issledovanie]. Moscow, Nauka Publ., 1989 (in Russian).
- Waterfield R. (transl.) *Herodotus. The Histories*. Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1998.
- Wesseling P., Valckenaer L. C. (ed., comm.) *Herodoti Halicarnassei Historiarum libri IX Musarum nominibus inscripti*. Amsterdam, Schovten, 1763.
- West M. L. The Orphics of Olbia. *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik* 1982, 45, 17–29.
- West S. Herodotus and Olbia, in: D. Braund and S. D. Kryzhitskiy (eds) *Classical Olbia and the Scythian World from the Sixth Century BC to the Second Century AD*. (Proceedings of the British Academy 142). Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2007, 79–92.
- Wilson N. G. Maasiana on Herodotus. *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik* 2011, 179, 57–70.
- Wilson N. G. *Herodotea: Studies on the Text of Herodotus*. Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2015.
- Zaginailo A. G., Karyshkovskii P. O. The Coins of the Scythian King Skyles [Monety skifskogo tsaria Skila], in: V. L. Yanin (ed.) *Numismatic Studies in the History of South-Eastern Europe* [Numizmaticheskie issledovaniia po istorii Iugo-Vostochnoi Evropy]. Kishinev, Shtiintsa, 1990 (in Russian).
- Zhmod' L. Ia. Orphism and Graffiti from Olbia, *Hermes* 1992, 120, 159–168.

Hdt. 4.79 διεπρήστεισε

Александр Сергеевич Николаев

Мюнхенский университет им. Людвига-Максимилиана,
Германия, D-80539, Мюнхен, пл. Гешвистер-Шолль, 1; alexander.s.nikolaev@gmail.com

Для цитирования: Nikolaev A. Hdt. 4.79 διεπρήστεισε. *Philologia Classica* 2023, 18 (1), 4–15.
<https://doi.org/10.21638/spbu20.2023.101>

В статье рассматривается форма †διεπρήστεισε† (Hdt. 4.79): в рассказе о гибели скифского царя Скила этот глагол описывает действия ольвиополита, от которого скифы узнали о том, что их царь празднует дионисийские ритуалы. Существует два подхода к пониманию этого гапакса, засвидетельствованного практически во всех рукописях «Истории» Геродота: одни филологи видели в этой форме *verbum loquendi*, другие — *verbum movendi*. И теми, и другими был предложен целый ряд малоубедительных конъектур, многие из которых сами представляют собой *addenda lexicis*. После критического разбора предложенных ранее интерпретаций и исправлений в статье предлагается сохранить чтение рукописного предания и видеть в (-)πρήστειω идею стремительного движения. Единственное морфологически возможное объяснение заключается в том, что глагол (-)πρήστειω (возможно, просторечный или созданный самим Геродотом как *Augenblicksbildung*) образован от имени деятеля πρήστῆρ ‘вихрь, струя воздуха’ / *πρήστῆς от того же корня, что и πίπρησις в его изначальном значении ‘дуть’. (Эту же словообразовательную модель мы находим в μνηστειώ ‘свататься’: μνηστῆρ ‘жених’ или δυναστειώ ‘мочь, иметь силу’: δυναστῆς ‘правитель, могущественный человек’). В поддержку предлагаемого перевода ‘дунул’, т. е. ‘ринулся, устремился, побежал’, пред-

лагаются типологические параллели, например, др.-англ. *blæstan* ‘дуть; стремительно двигаться’ или русс. *дуть*. Примечательно, что эта же семантика может присутствовать в хетт. (*parip*)*parāi-* ‘дуть, раздувать огонь; быстро двигаться’, которое представляет собой полное этимологическое соответствие др.-гр. πύρρῆς.

Ключевые слова: вставное -s-, Геродот, имена деятеля, критика текста, праиндоевропейская реконструкция, хеттский язык, этимология.

Received: September 25, 2022

Accepted: March 1, 2023