

REVIEW

By scientific supervisor for the graduate qualification work of the student of the 2nd year basic educational MASTER programme in SPBU in the course “International relations (in the English language)” Matheus Carturani on the topic: ‘PARTICULARITIES OF EURASIAN REGIONALISM’

1. Assessment of the quality of the graduate qualification work:

№ п. п.	Criteria of the assessment (the model of master programme: verifiable competences)	Points of the assessment: ● excellent (5) ● good (4) ● satisfactory (3) ● unsatisfactory (2) ¹	Comments for the assessment
1.	Relevance of the issue (AOM: OKM-13, 22; AM: OKM-8,16, ПК-36; ПОМ: ПК-6)	excellent (5)	This is a very topical and timely subject.
2.	Scientific novelty (AOM: OKM-13, 22; AM: OKM-8,16, ПК-36; ПОМ: ПК-6)	excellent (5)	
3.	The correctness of the assignment, the interconnectedness of the aim and tasks (AOM: OKM- 9, 13, 32; AM: ПК-10, 11; ПОМ: ОКМ-12)	excellent (5)	
4.	The degree of development of the source base and the quality of criticism of sources (AOM: ПК-17; AM: ОКМ- 24; ПОМ: ОКМ-18, ПК-13)	excellent (5)	
5.	Completeness and diversity of the list of used literature (AOM: ПК-17; AM: ОКМ- 24; ПОМ: ОКМ-18, ПК-13)	excellent (5)	The author demonstrates a good knowledge of scientific literature on the topic.
6.	Correspondence of methods of research with aim and tasks of graduate qualification work (AOM: ОКМ-2; AM: ОКМ- 10, ПК-26; ПОМ: ОКМ-11, 14)	excellent (5)	
7.	Correspondence of the results of graduate qualification work to the aim and tasks (AOM: ОКМ-1,7, ПК-33; AM: ОКМ- 17, ПК-12, 37; ПОМ: ОКМ-14, ПК-14, 17)	excellent (5)	The author has answered all of his questions adequately.
8.	Text composition quality (AOM: ОКМ-1; AM: ОКМ-8; ПОМ: ОКМ-7)	excellent (5)	
9.	Responsibility of student during his work with scientific supervisor (AOM: ОКМ-23; AM: ОКМ-19; ПОМ: ОКМ-13, 23, 27)	excellent (5)	The student regularly attended the consultations with the supervisor and actively participated in the discussion on the main issues of his thesis.
Average assessment:		excellent (5)	

¹ Выставление оценки «неудовлетворительно» по одному из критериев автоматически означает рекомендацию оценки «неудовлетворительно» за работу в целом. В этом случае рецензент подробно обосновывает собственное мнение в *Комментариях к оценке* и п.2. *Заключение/рекомендации членам ГЭК.*

2. Conclusion/recommendations to the State Examination Committee members: It was my pleasure to work with Matheus Carturani as a master student. I can characterize Matheus as a hard-working, thoughtful, charismatic, and open-minded researcher. Under my guidance he has been researching the phenomenon of Eurasian regionalism, pretty controversial subject, and, I would say, he tried to be objective in his research. I could note Matheus's excellent academic writing skills, good use of sources and systematic analytical approach.

To conclude, the master thesis of Matheus Carturani fully meets the requirements of the master thesis in the field of 'International relations'.

3. Recommended assessment: excellent A (5.0)

«_09_» __June____ 2023.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'M. Lagutina', is written on a light blue background.

Doctor of Political Science, Professor, World Politics Department

Maria L. Lagutina