REVIEW

by research supervisor of the graduate qualification paper submitted by the second-year student of the "International Relation (in English)" master's program at SPbSU

Kritskaia Kseniia Sergeevna

(first name, last name of the student)

titled South Korean Military Export: Current Trends and Prospects

(title)

1. Assessment of the paper:

No.	Assessment Criteria	Grade:	Reviewer's Comments
1100	(codes of competences according to	• excellent, A (5.0)	(mandatory for those criteria on which the paper is
	curriculum)	• good, B (4.5)	assessed critically or downgraded)
		• good, C (4.0)	,
		• satisfactory, D (3.5)	
		• satisfactory, E (3.0)	
		• unsatisfactory, F (0.0) ¹	
1.	Academic relevance of	excellent, A (5.0)	
1.	the research problem		
	(ОПК-4, ПКА-5)		
2.	Scholarly contribution	excellent, A (5.0)	
	by the author (ОПК-4, ПКА-2, ПКА-3, ПКА-5, ПКА-		
	(ОПК-4, ПКА-2, ПКА-3, ПКА-3, ПКА- 6, ПКП-9)		
3.	Appropriateness of the	excellent, A (5.0)	
	research objective,		
	coherence of research		
	objective and research		
	tasks		
	(ОПК-4, ПКА-2, ПКА-5, ПКА-6, ПКА-10, ПКП-9)		
4.	Quality of the empirical	excellent, A (5.0)	
	scope and of the		
	primary sources review (ПКА-2, ПКА-7, ПКП-4)		
5.	Comprehensiveness of	excellent, A (5.0)	
	secondary sources		
	(academic literature)		
	employed by the author (ПКА-2, ПКА-7)		
6.	Adequacy of chosen	excellent, A (5.0)	
	research methods to the		
	stated research objective		
	and research tasks		
7.	Correspondence of	excellent, A (5.0)	
	empirical results to the		
	stated research objective		
	and research tasks		
	(ОПК-7, ПКА-2, ПКА-3, ПКА-5, ПКА-6, ПКП-4, ПКП-9)		

¹ If the paper is assessed as "unsatisfactory" based on one of the criteria, the overall recommended grade for the paper is to be "unsatisfactory", in which case a reviewer presents his/her detailed arguments in the Comments section as well as in the Conclusion/Recommendations section.

8.	Text formatting and	excellent, A (5.0)	
	editing (OПК-7, ПКА-7)		
9.	Diligence, consistency, and responsibility demonstrated by the student when writing the paper (OIIK-7, VK-6)	excellent, A (5.0)	
Average grade:			

2. Conclusion/Recommendations for the evaluation commission:

The thesis of Kseniia Kritskaia is a completely original and comprehensive study of the rising South Korean military export. The problem is examined in all relevant aspects: legal, institutional, economic, and political. External and domestic dimensions of the policy are considered. A good empirical study and adequate critical analysis of Korean government's policy in the field made it possible to answer the main research question, and the answer seems to be well founded and convincing. The main trends in South Korean defence export policy are presented as the main result of the extensive and high-quality analytical work of the author.

3. Recommended grade (in ECTS):

excellent, A (5.0)

Date 06.06.23

A. Pavlov, Ph.D,

Professor, Saint-Petersburg State University

Title, name and signature of research supervisor