

Санкт-Петербургский государственный университет

Ермошин Иван Алексеевич
Выпускная квалификационная работа

Задачи типа Варинга с простыми переменными

Образовательная программа бакалавриат «Математика»
Направление и код: 01.03.01 «Математика»
Шифр ОП: СВ.5000.2019

Научный руководитель:
Доцент, Факультет математики и
компьютерных наук СПбГУ
д.ф.-м.н.,
Степанов Алексей Владимирович

Рецензент:
Научный сотрудник
Международная лаборатория
зеркальной симметрии
и автоморфных форм,
ФГБОУ ВО «Национальный
исследовательский университет
«Высшая школа экономики»,
д.ф.-м.н.,
Калмынин Александр Борисович

Санкт-Петербург
2023

Waring type problems with prime variables

Ivan Ermoshin

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Preliminary	3
2	Auxiliary lemmas	4
3	Lemmas	5
3.1	The number of integers that can be written as a square and a square of a prime in an arithmetic progression	5
3.2	Correlation of r_1 and r_0	6
3.3	The sum in arithmetic progression	7
4	Upper bound	8

Abstract

In [2] J. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec established a conditional asymptotic formula for the mean value of $\Lambda(n)r_0(n-2)r_0(n+2)$, where $\Lambda(n)$ is the von Mangoldt function and $\Lambda(n) = \log n$ for $n = p^k$ with p a prime and is zero otherwise, $r_0(n)$ is the number of ways to write n as a sum of two positive squares. This is equivalent to showing a strengthening of Lagrange's four squares theorem, i.e. finding an asymptotic formula for $p = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$ subject to hyperbolic condition $ad - bc = 1$. Here we aim at studying an analogous sum when one of the variables is restricted to prime, i.e. $\Lambda(n)r_1(n-2)r_0(n+2)$, where $r_1(n)$ is the number of ways to represent n as a sum of a square and a square of a prime.

1 Introduction

Notations: The letters p, q, r stand for prime numbers and \mathbb{P} be the set of all primes. All logarithms are to base e . The signs \ll, \gg are usual Vinogradov signs.

Let $\Lambda: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the von Mangoldt function defined as

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p, & n = p^\alpha, \quad \alpha > 0, \\ 0, & n \neq p^\alpha, \end{cases}.$$

This function is smoothed characteristic function of primes and results about $\psi(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)$ usually are equivalent to results about $\pi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} 1$.

Alternatively, one can define von Mangold function via

$$\Lambda(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log \frac{n}{d} = - \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log d,$$

where $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius function,

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k, & n = p_1 \cdots p_k, \\ 0, & \exists p: p^2 | n. \end{cases},$$

and the second equality is an easy corollary of the inclusion exclusion principle, so

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Also we define some standart number theory functions

$$\pi(x; q, a) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{q}}} 1, \quad \omega(n) = \sum_{p|n} 1.$$

Denote by $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ the Legendre symbol given by

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & \exists x : x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}, \\ 0, & (a, p) > 1, \\ -1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

For $0 \leq i \leq 2$ let $r_i(n)$ count number of representations of n as a sum of two squares with i prime restrictions

$$\begin{aligned} r_0(n) &= \#\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : a^2 + b^2 = n\}, \\ r_1(n) &= \#\{(a, p) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{P} : a^2 + p^2 = n\}, \\ r_2(n) &= \#\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{P}^2 : p^2 + q^2 = n\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

1.1 Preliminary

In [2] J. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec proved an asymptotic equivalence for the number of prime solutions to

$$p = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 \leq x$$

hyperbolic condition $x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 = 1$ i.e. they considered

$$\sum_{\substack{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 \leq x \\ x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 = 1}} \Lambda(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2) \asymp x$$

subject to weakened version of strong widely believed conjecture about the uniformity of the distribution of primes in arithmetic progressions.

Under the change of variables

$$\begin{cases} y_1 = x_1 + x_4, \\ y_2 = x_2 + x_3, \\ y_3 = x_1 - x_4, \\ y_4 = x_2 - x_3, \end{cases}$$

hence

$$\begin{cases} y_1^2 + y_2^2 = n + 2, \\ y_3^2 + y_4^2 = n - 2 \end{cases}.$$

Note that this change of variables is one-to-one, but due to parity restrictions precisely one of tuples (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) and (y_1, y_2, y_4, y_3) rise to an integer solution in the x 's.

The sum becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) r_0(n-2) r_0(n+2).$$

This one is easier to consider via sieve techniques.

2 Auxiliary lemmas

Lemma 1. For $x > 1$ and any $k \geq 1$ we have

$$\text{Li}(x) = \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t} = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(j-1)!x}{(\log x)^j} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{k+1}}\right).$$

The following lemma is taken from paper by Andrew Granville [3]

Lemma 2. For any natural n we have

$$\Lambda(n) = \log n - \sum_{\substack{l|n \\ l \neq n}} \Lambda(l)$$

Proof. Consider cases $n = p^k$ and $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ with $k > 1$, both are obvious. \square

Lemma 3. For $q, a > 1$ let $\varrho(q, a)$ count the number of solutions to $u^2 + v^2 \equiv a \pmod q$ subject to $(v, q) = 1$, i.e.

$$\varrho(q, a) = \#\{u, v \pmod q, (v, q) = 1: u^2 + v^2 \equiv a \pmod q\}. \quad (2)$$

For $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$

$$\rho(p, a) = \begin{cases} p - 2 - \left(\frac{a}{p}\right), & a \not\equiv 0 \pmod p, \\ 2(p-1), & a \equiv 0 \pmod p \end{cases}.$$

For $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$

$$\rho(p, a) = \begin{cases} p - \left(\frac{a}{p}\right), & a \not\equiv 0 \pmod p, \\ 0, & a \equiv 0 \pmod p \end{cases}.$$

Moreover, we have $\varrho(p^\alpha, a) = p^{\alpha-1}\varrho(p, a)$ if $p \geq 3$ and $\alpha \geq 1$, and $\varrho(2^\alpha, a) = 2^{\alpha-3}\varrho(8, a)$ if $\alpha \geq 3$.

Lemma 4. For $q, a > 1$ let $r(q, a)$ count the number of solutions to $u^2 + v^2 \equiv a \pmod q$, i.e.

$$r(q, a) = \#\{u, v \pmod q: u^2 + v^2 \equiv a \pmod q\}. \quad (3)$$

For $p > 2$

$$r(p, a) = \begin{cases} p - \chi(p), & a \not\equiv 0 \pmod p, \\ p(1 + \chi(p)) - \chi(p), & a \equiv 0 \pmod p \end{cases}.$$

Moreover, we have $r(p^\alpha, a) = p^{\alpha-1}r(p, a)$ if $p \geq 3$ and $\alpha \geq 1$, and $r(2^\alpha, a) = 2^{\alpha-3}r(8, a)$ if $\alpha \geq 3$.

Note that both $\varrho(q, a)$ and $r(q, a)$ are multiplicative over q by the Chinese remainder theorem.

For proofs of both Lemmas above see [4].

3 Lemmas

3.1 The number of integers that can be written as a square and a square of a prime in an arithmetic progression

Lemma 5. *Let $a, q > 1$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod q}} r_1(n) = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\varrho(a, q)}{q \varphi(q)} f(x) + O\left(\sum_{\substack{u, v \pmod q \\ u^2 + v^2 \equiv a \pmod q \\ (v, q) = 1}} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{q} E^*(\sqrt{x}; q, v) \right).$$

Proof. Acting as in the proof of [1, Theorem 3] we invoke the definition of r_1 from (1) and split the quadratic congruence into two linear ones, hence

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod q}} r_1(n) = \sum_{\substack{m^2 + p^2 \leq x \\ m^2 + p^2 \equiv a \pmod q}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{u, v \pmod q \\ u^2 + v^2 \equiv a \pmod q}} \sum_{\substack{m^2 + p^2 \leq x \\ m \equiv u \pmod q \\ p \equiv v \pmod q}} 1.$$

Further, we can bound the contribution of pairs with $(v, q) > 1$ as

$$\sum_{\substack{u, v \pmod q \\ u^2 + v^2 \equiv a \pmod q \\ (v, q) > 1}} \sum_{\substack{m^2 + p^2 \leq x \\ m \equiv u \pmod q \\ p \equiv v \pmod q}} 1 \ll \sum_{\substack{u, v \pmod q \\ u^2 + v^2 \equiv a \pmod q \\ p|q \\ p \equiv v \pmod q}} \sum_{\substack{m^2 \leq x \\ m \equiv u \pmod q}} 1 \ll \omega(q) x^{1/2}$$

thus arriving at

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod q}} r_1(n) = \sum_{\substack{u, v \pmod q \\ u^2 + v^2 \equiv a \pmod q \\ (v, q) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{m^2 + p^2 \leq x \\ m \equiv u \pmod q \\ p \equiv v \pmod q}} 1 + O(\omega(q) x^{1/2}). \quad (4)$$

Write the prime number theorem in arithmetic progressions as

$$\pi(x; q, a) = \frac{\pi(x)}{\varphi(q)} + E(x; q, a), \quad (5)$$

while the classical prime number theorem states

$$\pi(x) = \text{Li}(x) + O(x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})), \quad c > 0. \quad (6)$$

Hence the inner sum in (4) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{m^2 + p^2 \leq x \\ m \equiv u \pmod q \\ p \equiv v \pmod q}} 1 &= -\frac{1}{q} \int_{x^{1/4}}^{x^{1/2}} \pi(t; q, v) d\sqrt{x - t^2} + O(x^{3/4}) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{q} \int_{x^{1/4}}^{x^{1/2}} \frac{\text{Li}(t)}{\varphi(q)} d\sqrt{x - t^2} - \frac{1}{q} \int_{x^{1/4}}^{x^{1/2}} E(t; q, v) d\sqrt{x - t^2} + O(x^{3/4}), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where we applied (5) and bounded the contribution of (6) as

$$\int_2^{x^{1/4}} \pi(t; q, v) d\sqrt{x - t^2} \ll x^{3/4}.$$

We calculate the first integral using Lemma 1. Setting

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{a_k x}{(\log x)^k}, \quad a_1 = 1,$$

where a_k are ... we obtain

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{f(x)}{q\varphi(q)} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{q\varphi(q)} \left(\frac{x}{\log x} + \dots \right).$$

The second integral in (7) can be estimated by the maximum of $E(t; q, v)$, i.e.

$$-\frac{1}{q} \int_{x^{1/4}}^{x^{1/2}} E(t; q, v) d\sqrt{x-t^2} = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{q} \max_{t \leq \sqrt{x}} |E(t; q, v)|\right).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} r_1(n) &= \sum_{\substack{u, v \pmod{q} \\ u^2 + v^2 \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ (v, q) = 1}} \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{f(x)}{q\varphi(q)} + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{q} \max_{t \leq \sqrt{x}} |E(t; q, v)|\right) = \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\varrho(a, q)}{q\varphi(q)} f(x) + O\left(\sum_{\substack{u, v \pmod{q} \\ u^2 + v^2 \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ (v, q) = 1}} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{q} E^*(\sqrt{x}; q, v)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $E^*(x; q, a) = \max_{t \leq x} |E(t; q, a)|$ and $\varrho(a, q)$ is defined in (3).

□

3.2 Correlation of r_1 and r_0

Here we proceed analogously as in [5, Theorem 1, Sedunova]. On recalling that

$$r_0(n) = \sum_{d|n} \chi(d)$$

we divide the range of divisors into three parts

$$S(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} r_1(n+2)r_0(n-2) = \sum_{n \leq x} r_1(n+2) \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(n-2),$$

where

$$\sigma_1(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \leq \sqrt{n}/(\log x)^A}} \chi(d), \quad \sigma_3(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \geq \sqrt{n}(\log x)^A}} \chi(d)$$

and σ_2 sums over missing d -s

$$\sigma_2(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \sim_A \sqrt{n}}} \chi(d).$$

When $2 \nmid n$ it is easy to see via going to co-divisors that $\sigma_1(n) = \sigma_3(n)$, and A is some big enough constant.

$$S(x) = 2 \sum_{n \leq x} r_1(n+2)\sigma_1(n-2) + R(x),$$

where

$$R(x) = R_1(x) + R_1'(x),$$

where

$$R_1(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} r_1(n+2)\sigma_2(n-2),$$

$$R'_1(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ 2^k || n}} r_1(n+2) \sum_{\substack{d | n-2 \\ 2^{-k} \sqrt{n-2}/(\log x)^A \leq d \leq \sqrt{n-2}/(\log x)^A}} \chi(d)$$

with $k = k(n)$ such that $2^k || n - 2$.

Working with both the main and the error term as in [5] we get

$$S(x) = \frac{\pi x}{\log x} \sum_{d \leq D} \frac{\chi(d) \varrho(d, 4)}{d \varphi(d)} + O\left(\frac{x \log \log x}{(\log x)^2}\right) = \frac{C_0 \pi x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x \log \log x}{(\log x)^2}\right),$$

where we completed the sum over d to infinity

$$C_0 = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(d) \varrho(d, 4)}{d \varphi(d)}.$$

3.3 The sum in arithmetic progression

Let d be an odd number. In the following sum we consider only $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ as $r_0(n-2)$ vanishes for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Consider the following sum over arithmetic progressions

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} r_1(n+2) r_0(n-2).$$

Since for $n-2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ one has

$$r_0(n-2) = 2 \sum_{\substack{k | n-2 \\ k < \sqrt{n-2}}} \chi(k) + \chi(\sqrt{n-2}), \quad (8)$$

where the last term vanishes unless $n-2$ is a square. We thus have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} r_1(n+2) r_0(n-2) = 2 \sum_{k < \sqrt{x}} \chi(k) \sum_{\substack{k^2 < n \leq x \\ n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{d} \\ n \equiv 2 \pmod{k}}} r_1(n+2) + O(x^{1/2+\varepsilon}).$$

Note that $\chi(k)$ vanishes at even k , so $(k, 4) = 1$; $(k, d) = 1$ too because if $p | d, p | k$ then $p | n, p | n-2$ so $p = 2$ or $p = 1$. We can use Chinese remainder theorem and substitute r_1 in arithmetic progressions.

Thus

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{d} \\ n \equiv 2 \pmod{k}}} r_1(n+2) = \frac{\varrho(4, 1) \varrho(k, 4) \varrho(d, 2)}{4dk \varphi(4dk)} f(x) + O\left(\sum_{\substack{u, v \pmod{4dk} \\ u^2 + v^2 \equiv a \pmod{4dk} \\ (v, 4dk) = 1}} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{4dk} E^*(\sqrt{x}; 4dk, v)\right).$$

Hence the main term of the right-hand side of (8) equals

$$2 \sum_{\substack{k \leq \sqrt{x} \\ (k, d) = 1}} \chi(k) \left(\frac{\varrho(4, 1) \varrho(k, 4) \varrho(d, 2)}{4dk \varphi(4dk)} \pi'(x) + O\left(\sum_{\substack{u, v \pmod{4dk} \\ u^2 + v^2 \equiv a \pmod{4dk} \\ (v, 4dk) = 1}} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{4dk} E^*(\sqrt{x}; 4dk, v)\right) \right) - \\ - O\left(\sum_{\substack{k \leq \sqrt{x} \\ (k, d) = 1}} \frac{\chi(k) \varrho(4, 1) \varrho(k, 4) \varrho(d, 2)}{4dk \varphi(4dk)} \pi'(k^2)\right) =$$

$$= g(d) \left(C_0 \pi'(x) + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^A}\right) \right) + O\left(\sum_{\substack{k \leq \sqrt{x} \\ (k, 2d)=1}} \sum_{\substack{u, v \bmod 4dk \\ u^2+v^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ u^2+v^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{k} \\ u^2+v^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{d} \\ (v, 4dk)=1}} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{4dk} E^*(\sqrt{x}; 4dk, v) \right),$$

where

$$C_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(k) \varrho(k, 4)}{k \varphi(k)}$$

and $A > 0$ is any arbitrary large number and

$$g(d) = \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ p|d}} \left(1 - \frac{p-3}{(p-2)(p+1)} \right) \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ p|d}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-2} \right) \frac{\varrho(d, 2)}{d \varphi(d)}.$$

4 Upper bound

In this section we prove the upper bound for

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) r_0(n-2) r_1(n+2).$$

Note that if $4 \mid n$ (denote $n = 4k$) then $r_1(n+2) r_0(n-2) \leq r_0(n+2) r_0(n-2) = 0$ since if

$$4k+2 = 2^\alpha(4a+1), \quad 4k-2 = 2^\beta(4b+1),$$

so by parity $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and

$$2k+1 = 4a+1, \quad 2k-1 = 4b+1,$$

has no solutions.

By Lemma 2 we get

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \log(n) r_0(n-2) r_1(n+2) - \sum_{\substack{l|n \\ l \neq n \\ n \leq x}} \Lambda(l) r_0(n-2) r_1(n+2) = S_1(x) - S_2(x).$$

By partial summation formula

$$\sum_1 = \log x \sum_{n \leq x} r_0(n-2) r_1(n+2) - \int_1^x \sum_{n \leq t} r_0(n-2) r_1(n+2) \frac{dt}{t} = Cx + O\left(\frac{x \log \log x}{\log x}\right).$$

References

- [1] S. Daniel. On the sum of a square and a square of a prime. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 131(1):1–22, 2001.
- [2] John B. Friedlander and Henryk Iwaniec. Hyperbolic prime number theorem. *Acta Mathematica*, 202(1):1–19, 2009.
- [3] Andrew Granville. An alternative to Vaughan’s identity. *Riv. Math. Univ. Parma (N.S.)*, 12(1):119–124, 2021.
- [4] V. A. Plaksin. Asymptotic formula for the number of solutions of an equation with primes. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 45(2):321–397, 463, 1981.
- [5] Alisa Sedunova. Intersections of binary quadratic forms in primes and the paucity phenomenon. *J. Number Theory*, 235:305–327, 2022.