Royal Saints, Artistic Patronage, and Self-representation among Hungarian Noblemen

D. Gh. Năstăsoiu


During the 1401–1403 political crisis in the Kingdom of Hungary, the magnates who were hostile to the ruling King Sigismund of Luxemburg and supported instead the Angevin King Ladislas of Naples deployed a wide range of propaganda tools for proving the legitimacy of their political cause. In a previous study published in this journal (Vestnik of SPbSU. History, 2021, vol. 66, issue 1, pp. 179–192), I have focused on the Hungarian noblemen's an-
ti-royal propaganda through the utilizing of political and spiritual symbols (i.e., the Holy Crown of Hungary and the cult, relics, and visual representations of St. Ladislas), symbolic actions (coronations and oath-swearing on holy relics), and heraldic self-representation (the Árpádian double cross). The present study approaches the same topic of anti-royal propaganda in the troubled political context of the early 15th century, but from the perspective of the elites’ self-representation strategies via the cult of Hungarian royal saints, artistic patronage, and heraldic self-representation. The two leaders of the anti-royal movement, Archbishop of Esztergom John Kanizsai and Palatine of Hungary Detre Bebek, repeatedly commissioned works of art (i.e., seals, stained-glass windows, and wall paintings) which featured prominently the images of the three Holy Kings of Hungary (Sts Stephen, Emeric, and Ladislas) or displayed the realm’s coat of arms (the Árpádian two-barred cross). The reliance of John Kanizsai and Detre Bebek on the cults and images of the patron saints of the country blended harmoniously the commissioners’ personal piety with their political ambitions. In the context of the early-15th century political crisis, the appropriation of the ideal figures of the sancti reges Hungariae became the driving force behind the Hungarian nobleman’s political cause.
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During the political crisis of 1401–1403, the Hungarian noblemen who were hostile to the ruling king, Sigismund of Luxemburg (r. 1387–1437), and supported instead another claimant to the Hungarian throne, the Angevin King Ladislas of Naples (r. 1386–1414), utilized a wide range of propaganda tools meant to prove the legitimacy of their anti-royal actions. They resorted constantly to important spiritual and political symbols associated with the realm, such as the Holy Crown of Hungary, the heraldry of the country, or the cult and relics of St. Ladislas. For instance, when forming the Council of the Holy Crown in May 1401, the Hungarian magnates endowed it with a seal whose heraldic shield decorated with the Árpádian double cross symbolized the realm rather than the ruler, and thus asserted the sovereignty of their noble commonwealth to the detriment of the changing person of the king. In order to attain the general good of the realm as they envisioned it, they engaged in military combats against the contested king fighting under the heraldic banner of King Ladislas of Naples. The propagandistic usage of heraldic, political, and spiritual symbols was further reinforced by their involvement into elaborated rituals and symbolic actions, such as coronations and oath-swearing on holy relics. For instance, during a brief moment of reconciliation between the two political parties, the contested King Sigismund swore a solemn oath on the Wood of the Holy Cross and promised to comply with the magnates’ demands. Before 5 August 1403, in St. Anastasia Cathedral in Zadar, the Archbishop of Esztergom John Kanizsai crowned Ladislas of Naples King of Hungary, Dalmatia, and Croatia, but this gesture was counterbalanced by King Sigismund’s own symbolic coronation, which was performed instead with the sole legitimate object, namely, St. Stephen’s Holy Crown. Finally, on Christmas Eve 1402, the Hungarian noblemen gathered in the Cathedral of Nagyvárad, where the tomb of the Holy King and Knight Ladislas I was located, and proclaimed their allegiance to King Ladislas of Naples by swearing an oath on the relics of the holy king. Incorporated in such a religious and political ritual, the human-shaped bust reliquary of St. Ladislas signaled that the holy king himself took part in these symbolic actions, overseeing and sanctioning them. The symbolic engagement of St. Ladislas in this ritual was meant to activate the link between secular and religious spheres, the performers hoping to attract the divine approval in this way. Therefore, during the 1401–1403 political crisis, the Hungarian noblemen appropriated with consistency the ideal figure of St. Ladislas, who became the driving force behind their political cause and continued to be a powerful symbol of the country.

This appropriation of St. Ladislas’ figure occurred also at the level of the artistic and religious patronage of the main actors of the early-15th century political crisis, and it is the aim of this second essay to highlight how these noblemen’s personal piety was exploited in favor of their political agenda. On the one hand, their artistic and religious patronage is characterized by a constant reliance on the cults and images of St. Ladislas and the other two sancti reges Hungariae as a means of expressing political and propagandistic messages. On the other hand, it is distinguished by the incorporation — for political and self-representational purposes — of the realm’s heraldry into the personal coats of arms of these Hungarian noblemen. However, before examining in detail how the personal piety of these Hungarian barons involved in the early-15th century anti-royal movement was instrumentalised for political purposes, it is necessary to outline the cults and iconography of St. Ladislas and the other two Hungarian holy kings, namely, St. Stephen and St. Emeric.

Having reigned between 1077 and 1095, King Ladislas I was canonized in 1192 at the initiative of King Béla III (r. 1172–1196), his cult centering from its outset on the holy
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ruler's exceptional moral virtues and physical excellence\(^1\). Labeled by liturgical texts as _columpna milicie christianae_ (pillar of Christian militia) and _defensor indefessus et athleta patriae_ (invincible defender and athlete of the fatherland), St. Ladislas soon became an ideal knight whose conduct was guided by four key-virtues: _veritas_ (truthfulness), _providencia_ (foresight), _humanitas_ (humanity), and _strenuitas_ (energy)\(^2\). In the person of St. Ladislas, physical excellence and profusion of corporeal gifts blended harmoniously with the noblesse of birth and of character; the idea of physical beauty conceived as a manifestation of good was an important chivalric virtue for that matter\(^3\). These chief traits made the ideal figure of St. Ladislas extremely appealing to Hungarian noblemen, who thus commissioned the decoration of their churches with the pictorial cycle of the holy king and knight\(^4\). Preserved in many religious edifices across medieval Hungary, this visual narrative focuses on one of the most chivalric exploits in the holy knight's life when, during the confrontation between the Hungarian and Cuman armies, St. Ladislas rescued a beautiful Hungarian maiden from her evil pagan abductor. Replete with popular motifs coming from medieval chivalric or heroic romances, this pictorial narrative proliferated against the background of the knightly culture that was adopted by the Hungarian royal court and was particularly encouraged by the Angevin Kings of Hungary and, later on, by King Sigismund himself\(^5\). The increasing popularity of St. Ladislas' cult during the second half of the 14th century led to his final transformation into a powerful symbol of the country. This was the moment when King Louis I the Great of Anjou (r. 1342–1382) replaced the effigy of St. John the Baptist on the new golden florin he issued with the full standing figure of St. Ladislas, represented as a haloed and crowned king holding the globus cruciger and a battle-axe as his attributes\(^6\). Later on, the double majestic seal of Queen Mary of Anjou (r. 1382–1395), which she used throughout her sole reign (1382–1386), featured on its reverse the bust of St. Ladislas holding his usual attributes (i.e., battle-axe and globus cruciger) and hovering over the heraldic shield decorated with the Árpádians' two-barred cross. In this hypostasis, St. Ladislas was explicitly shown as the patron saint of the realm,
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providing for its sacred protection\textsuperscript{7}. The last decades of the 14th century also witnessed the proliferation of iconic images showing the three \textit{sancti reges Hungariae}, which were used for both personal-devotional and political-propagandistic purposes\textsuperscript{8}. This greatly popular iconography features the Árpádian royal trio composed of: St. Stephen (r. 1000/1001–1038), the founder of the Christian Kingdom of Hungary, who deserved his sanctity for having ruled as a \textit{rex iustus} and having converted his people to Christianity; St. Emeric (1000/1007–1031), the former’s son, a pious and chaste prince who was educated to become a virtuous Christian ruler but died before succeeding his father to the throne\textsuperscript{9}; and St. Ladislas, ideal ruler and knight, the country’s defender against pagan enemies, and \textit{athleta patriae}. In these images, the three holy kings are depicted together at the three ages of kingship, and are equally invested with royal insignia (crown, scepter, and globus cruciger) and personal attributes, namely: the old and wise St. Stephen — with the scepter and orb; the young and beardless St. Emeric — holding a lily or lily-shaped scepter (an allusion to his chastity); and the mature and armored St. Ladislas — with a battle-axe (a symbol of his knightly bravery).

Previous scholarship has shown that King Sigismund of Luxemburg manifested throughout his reign a constant support for St. Ladislas’ cult and that his veneration for the patron saint of the country was genuine and lasting\textsuperscript{10}. Since King Sigismund’s devotion to St. Ladislas exceeds the short chronological span of the 1401–1403 political crisis, one may assume that the king was not so much concerned with gaining immediate political advantages but was rather interested in the long-term effects of the promotion of the holy king’s cult. After all, it was to St. Ladislas’ intercession that King Sigismund entrusted the salvation of his soul in 1406, maintaining his wish to be buried next to the sepulcher of the holy king in Nagyvárad even after having become Holy Roman Emperor\textsuperscript{11}.

In contrast to King Sigismund and despite having been surrounded by plenty of examples of artistic and pious patronage centered on the cult of Hungarian dynastic saints, which his Neapolitan-Angevin predecessors had disseminated in churches all around

\textsuperscript{7} Marosi E. Der heilige Ladislaus als ungarischer Nationalheiliger. Bemerkungen zu seiner Ikono-

\textsuperscript{8} The most recent and comprehensive work on this iconography is: Năstăsoiu D. Gh. Between Personal Devotion and Political Propaganda: Iconographic Aspects in the Representation of the \textit{sancti reges Hungariae} in Church Mural Painting (14th Century — Early-16th Century). Budapest, 2018.

\textsuperscript{9} For the cults of St. Stephen and St. Emeric, see especially: Klaniczay G. Holy Rulers and Blessed Princesses. P. 114–161.

\textsuperscript{10} Kerny T. Szent László kultusz a Zsigmond-korban // Művészet Zsigmond király korában, 1387–

Naples\textsuperscript{12}, King Ladislas seems to have been neglectful of the political value carried by his dynastic belonging to the \textit{beata stirps Angevina et Arpadiana}\textsuperscript{13}. The few surviving works of art associated with his name do not support the claim that King Ladislas exploited — for the purpose of proving the legitimacy of his right to rule the country he unsuccessfully strived for — the political and propagandistic potential offered by the cult and images of his personal patron saint. On the one hand, it is not certain that the frescoes decorating the walls of the chapel situated at the end of the minor nave of the Church of Santa Maria Incoronata in Naples depict episodes taken from \textit{St. Ladislas’ Life}, which the Neapolitan ruler allegedly commissioned around the time of his coronation as King of Hungary in early August 1403\textsuperscript{14}. It is more likely that these narrative scenes represent in fact a hagiographical cycle dedicated to another holy king, namely, the Angevin St. Louis IX of France, and that they were commissioned by Queen Joanna I of Naples (r. 1343–1382) sometime during the last decade of her reign\textsuperscript{15}. On the other hand, King Ladislas’ own sepulchral monument in the apse of the Augustinian Church of San Giovanni a Carbonara in Naples was erected some considerable time after the king’s death in 1414. Executed only between 1428 and 1431 by a team of artists led by the Pisan sculptor Giovanni di Gante, this impressively-sized mausoleum represents the commission of King Ladislas’ sister and successor, Queen Joanna II (r. 1414–1435), who intended through its complex program to celebrate the rulership of both herself and the defunct king as well as to emphasize the


ideas of dynastic legitimacy and continuity. Finally, the tomb of Ludovico Aldomoresco — King Ladislas’ loyal subject and Captain-General entrusted with the mission of securing the acknowledging of the Neapolitan king’s sovereignty over Dalmatia — should be dismissed as evidence for the transmission of the cult of Hungarian dynastic saints from royal level to that of Neapolitan nobility. Commissioned by his son and executed in 1421 by Antonio Babboccio da Piperno, the tomb was decorated with splendid reliefs depicting Ludovico’s and King Ladislas’ way to and acceptance into Paradise. Contrary to previous opinion, the sancti reges Hungariae were not included in the iconographic program of this funerary monument.

Whereas King Ladislas seems to have generally ignored the cult of his personal patron saint and holy predecessor, the Hungarian supporters of the Neapolitan ruler proved themselves very attached not only to the cult of St. Ladislas but also to that of St. Stephen. Several of the high prelates involved in the anti-Sigismund coalition chose to be self-represented in their ecclesiastical functions precisely through the images of the two holy kings. The seal of the leader of the anti-royal movement, Archbishop of Esztergom John Kanizsai (1387–1418), features the Enthroned Madonna with Child overlooking the standing figure of St. Adalbert (the patron saint of Esztergom Cathedral) who is flanked by St. Ladislas and St. Stephen (the spiritual patrons of the country) inside a Gothic architectural structure (Fig. 1a). The archbishop kneels humbly below them; his smaller figure is placed in-between two heraldic shields decorated with his coat of arms — an eagle wing carried by a claw. The seal of another participant in the anti-Sigismund movement, Bishop of Győr John Hédervári (1386–1418), displays centrally the standing figure of the


18 This idea is present in Klaniczay G. Holy Rulers and Blessed Princesses. P. 387.

19 The most complete treatment of this work remains: Bock N. Honor et Gratia. Das Grabmal des Ludovico Aldomoresco als Beispiel familiärer Selbstdarstellung im spätmittelalterlichen Neapel // Marburger Jahrbuch für Kunstwissenschaft 1997. Iss. 24. P. 109–137. — This work, however, is also responsible for the error of introducing the Hungarian holy rulers into the picture, both literally and metaphorically. Based on a wrong identification of one of the interceding saints with St. Emeric instead of the 11th-century St. Amatus of Nusco (called sant ayme in the accompanying Old French inscription), the scholar unfoundedly described the defunct admiral and king as enjoying the grace of the beata stirps (Ibid. P. 122). This concept is completely missing from the tomb’s iconography, as missing is any of Hungary’s holy kings for that matter. For St. Amatus’ correct identification, see: Modena S. ‘Tituli’, iscrizioni e motti: il francese esposto in Italia fra XIV e XV secolo // Francigena. 2016. Iss. 2. P. 176.


cathedral’s patron, the Child-holding Holy Virgin22 (Fig. 1b). She is flanked by four smaller figures inserted in Gothic canopied niches: St. Peter and Archangel Michael (upper register) and St. Ladislas and St. Stephen (lower register). Likewise, the kneeling bishop is depicted in a devotional pose at the seal’s bottom and in-between two shields featuring his coat of arms — the Hungarian (red-and-white) stripes arranged, however, vertically. One can add to these examples the seal of Bishop of Nagyvárad Lucas Szántai (1387–1406), with the standing figures of St. Ladislas, Holy Virgin with Child, and St. Peter23 in a simplified architectural structure (Fig. 1c). In this case, however, the choice for depicting the Holy Virgin centrally with St. Ladislas on her right seems only natural as the two were the actual patron saints of the Cathedral of Nagyvárad. Thus the iconography of these seals combines — in their self-representational function — the devotional and political aspirations of the prelates who actively participated in the conspiracy against King Sigismund. One may assume that their seals have been applied to a great number of charters during the three bishops’ episcopacies, and that they had circulated both inside and outside the respective dioceses, communicating their highly-symbolic iconography to a very wide audience24.

---

22 DL 87647, Hungarian National Archives, Budapest, 1397, red wax, 8.5 × 4.6 cm (Bodor I., 1984: A középkori Magyarország főpapi pecsétei… P. 53–54. Pl. XIII).
Fig. 2. St. Ladislas, Archbishop of Esztergom John Kanizsai, and the Kanizsai's coat of arms, 1416, stained glass, 123 × 48 cm, 74.5 × 48 cm, and 45 × 45 cm (Church of the Former Carthusian Monastery St. Margaretenthal, Basel) [Szentmártoni Szabó G., 2011]

Archbishop John Kanizsai’s personal devotion towards St. Ladislas did not cease even after his anti-Sigismund conspiracy failed, and he reconciled with the king playing again subsequently an important role in the kingdom’s political and diplomatic affairs. This is illustrated by one of the archbishop’s artistic and pious commissions happening most likely during the summer of 1416, when John Kanizsai was attested in Basel. While being there, the archbishop ordered for the church of the former Carthusian monastery a series of stained-glass windows to decorate the area of the church’s spiral stairs (Fig. 2). Varying in size, the three stained glasses display the archbishop’s coat of arms and his image as a donor praying to St. Ladislas. Having his halo inscribed as Ladislaus rex ungarie, the crowned holy knight holds a globus cruciger, his usual battle-axe attribute, and a red


Kept for a long time in the Historisches Museum in Basel, the windows were returned to their initial owner but were relocated at the basis of the choir’s central window. The archbishop’s name is inscribed in the monastery’s book of benefactors (Ms 1b Wohltäterbuch der Karthause, Basler Staatsarchiv in Basel, fol. 249): “III. reverendissimus pater dominus Johannes Archiepiscopus Strigoniensis de Ungaria dedit XX florenos pro fenestra vitrea prope coeleam.” — apud Szentmártóni Szabó G. Kanizsai János esztergomi érsek korabeli portréja és címere Bázelban // Turul. 2011. Vol. 81, iss. 4. P. 137–139.

shield decorated with the Árpádian double cross. This heraldic detail reinforces the idea that St. Ladislas was indeed revered as the patron saint of the realm.

It is interesting to examine whether Palatine Detre (Detricus) Bebek, as one of the heads of the anti-Sigismund coalition, or his son, Prior of Vrana Emeric Bebek, as an active participant in the rebellion, followed the model set up by these ecclesiastical figures and employed in a political and propagandistic manner the images of Hungary’s holy kings. Five of the many churches where representations of the three sancti reges Hungariae (Fig. 3) can be seen, were located at the turn of the 14th and 15th centuries on settlements that belonged to the estate of the so-called Pelsőci branch of the Bebek family. Four of them were found in Gömör County (present-day Slovakia): All Saints Church in Krásnohorské Podhradie (Hung. Krasznahorkavárálja); St. Ladislas Church in Kameňany (Hung. Kővi); Church of the Holy Virgin and St. George in Plešivec (Hung. Pelsőc); and Holy Trinity Church in Rákoš (Hung. Gömörrákos). The fifth one — St. Andrew Church in Tornaszentandrás (present-day Hungary) was located in the neighboring Torna County.

A detailed discussion of these murals’ iconography, style, and state of preservation is not possible here, but it should be noted that all five were executed roughly during the same period that coincided with the troubled time of political crisis: the late 14th century for Krásnohorské Podhradie; the 1390s for Kameňany, Rákoš, and Tornaszentandrás; and around 1400 for Plešivec. Additionally, the iconographic program of the nave’s northern wall in Holy Trinity Church in Rákoš included the representation of another popular theme focusing on St. Ladislas’ chivalric and chivalrous exploits, namely, the so-called Legend of St. Ladislas. A similar combination can be also found in the church in Remetea (present-day Romania, Hung. Magyarremete/Biharremete), whose sanctuary and the nave’s northern wall were likewise decorated around 1400 with the image of the sancti reges Hungariae and St. Ladislas’ Legend, respectively. Although the iconic and narrative representations are rarely in a direct conceptual relationship, the presence of both types of images in the general iconographic program of a single church is, nonetheless, indicative of the great veneration of St. Ladislas during the 14th and 15th centuries. In Remetea, the visual emphasis on the cult of Hungarian holy rulers was motivated not only by the...
settlement’s location in the proximity of St. Ladislas’ cult center in Nagyvárad, but also by
the settlement’s ownership. Starting with 1318 and until around the mid-15th century, the
settlement in Remetea was mentioned in written sources as part of the estate of the Bish-
ops of Nagyvárad\textsuperscript{33}. They were the main promoters of the holy king’s cult, whereas Bishop
Lukas Szántai himself was an active participant in the anti-Sigismund rebellion.

Throughout the second half of the 14th century, some of the members of the Bebek
family held high dignities and offices, which allowed them to play an important political
role in the kingdom as well as to cumulate an impressive wealth\textsuperscript{34}. Detre Bebek himself
(mid-14th century — after 1404), started his career as the queen’s steward (1379); then he
became royal standard-bearer and \textit{magister curiae regiae} (1388), Ban of Croatia, Dalmatia,
and Slavonia (1389–1392, 1394–1397), Ban of Szöreny and Temes (1392–1393), and
finally rose to the highest office in the kingdom, that of Palatine of Hungary (1397–1402).
In 1396, the Pelsőci Bebeks alone owned seven castles\textsuperscript{35}, four of them being situated in
Gömör County, in the proximity of their estates where the churches in Kameňany, Rákoš,

\textsuperscript{33} Szakács B. Zs. Saints of the Knights… P.329; Lángi J., Mihály F. Erdélyi falképek és festett faber-
395–404.

\textsuperscript{34} Nagy I. Magyarország családai címerekkel és nemzékrendi táblákkal. Vol. 1. Pest, 1857. P.256–263;
Vol. 2. Budapest, 1996. P.103–104; Skalská M. Rod Bubekovcov z Plešivca do začiatku 15. storočia // His-
torický zborník. 2010. Vol. 20, iss. 2. P.19–45; Tihányiová M. A pelsőci Bebekek. Egy nemesi család fele-

Plešivec, and Krásnohorské Podhradie were also located. Their main residence was in the town of Plešivec, an important link between the commercial roads of Buda and Košice to Poland. It was in this town that their main family church was founded, which — during the late-14th century — was decorated (both inside and outside) with exquisite murals bearing the imprint of the so-called “Italian Trecento style”36. As attested by the formal qualities of the remaining frescoes, the artistic patronage of the Pelsőci Bebeks extended during the same period also to other churches situated on their properties, including Kameňany, Rákoš, Tornaszentandrás, and Krásnohorské Podhradie. Judging by their naming practices throughout the 14th and first half of the 15th century, it is not surprising that the depiction of the sancti reges Hungariae was found in so many of their churches as the members of the Bebek family were often named Ladislas (6 times), Stephen and Emeric (each 3 times)37. The Bebeks’ close, personal link with their spiritual patrons is clearly expressed in the murals of the church in Rákoš38 (Fig. 3). Here, Hungary’s holy kings are depicted on the lower register of the sanctuary’s southern wall, that is, in the immediate vicinity of the place where the church’s patrons usually attended the religious service39. However, besides the Bebeks’ personal motivation in venerating and depicting the Hungarian royal saints in their churches, there was a political and ideological reasoning also. Placed on the outer wall of their main family church (on the sanctuary’s southern wall), the image of the three sancti reges Hungariae in Plešivec obviously did not fulfill an immediate liturgical function40 (Fig. 4). Even though it is currently poorly and partially preserved, this image enjoyed a high degree of visibility, accessible to everybody who happened to be in the town square, and it was probably intended to satisfy its commissioners’ need for self-representation41 (Fig. 5). Judging by their naming practices and personal-devotional ties with the three holy kings, it is possible to assume that the Pelsőci Bebeks tried to emulate the saintly and political virtues the sancti reges Hungariae stood for.


37 Nagy I. Magyarország családai… P. 256–263; Engel P. Magyarország világi archontológiája… P. 103–104.


39 Nástasioiu D. Gh. Between Personal Devotion… P. 122. — An indication of St. Ladislas’ special veneration by the Bebeks might have been also reflected in the dedication of the church in Kameňany to this holy king. Even though there are reasons to believe that this was the church’s medieval dedication, the titulus appears first in written sources only at the end of the 17th century (Tiháňiová M. Dejiny Kamenian… P. 45).


Fig. 4. St. Ladislas, St. Stephen (and St. Emeric), c. 1400, drawing on the holy kings’ representation, fresco, southern exterior wall of the sanctuary (Calvinist (formerly Catholic) Church (of the Holy Virgin and St. George), Plešivec). Photo & Drawing: D. Gh. Năstăsoiu

Fig. 5. View of the southern wall of the sanctuary with marking of the location of the holy kings’ scene, c. 1400 (Calvinist (formerly Catholic) Church (of the Holy Virgin and St. George), Plešivec). Photo & Drawing: D. Gh. Năstăsoiu
The Bebeks’ self-identification with and self-representation through other important symbols of the realm was manifest also, after the middle of the 14th century, in the repeated depiction of the two-barred cross on their coat of arms. Still kept inside the remarkable Gothic chapel that the Pelsőci Bebeks added around 1400 to the northern side of their family church in Plešivec, the tombstone of Ladislas Bebek displays a shield decorated with the double cross surmounted by a tuft of feathers (Fig. 6). There is a crest on top of its helmet with a torse featuring the heraldic symbol of the Ákos kindred, namely, a crowned female head surrounded by two fish coming out of her mouth. A variant of this coat of arms also appears on Detre Bebek’s own seal as Palatine of Hungary, the prominent Melusine-like coat of arms of the Ákos kindred being flanked this time by two smaller shields, each of them decorated with the double cross symbolizing the realm (Fig. 7). The incorporation of the country’s symbol into their heraldry signified that the Bebeks identified themselves strongly with the realm, whose proud and wealthy noblemen they were. By appropriating this heraldic element, the Bebeks vainly asserted their self-importance and expressed the conviction that their family was meant to play a significant and decisive part on their country’s political stage. The exterior wall paintings of the church in Plešivec are greatly damaged now, so one can no longer know whether the Bebeks’ coat of arms (and of their country for that matter) featured or not on the shields of the sancti reges Hungariae, as it happened in many other instances (Figs. 4, 5). However, it is probable that having been deeply involved in the political crisis of the early 15th century, when the powerful symbol of St. Ladislas was repeatedly employed in their favor by some of the prominent members of the anti-Sigismund coalition, Detre (and Emeric) Bebek also attempted to convey political and ideological messages through

---

42 Szakács B. Zs. Saints of the Knights… P. 323.
43 231 × 117 cm, red marble, 1401 (Csoma J. Magyar sírkövek. I. Bebek György sírköve 1371. II. Bebek László sírköve 1401 // Turul. 1888. Iss. 6. P. 159–164; Engel P., Lövei P., Várgra L. Grabplatten von ungarischen Magnaten aus dem Zeitalter der Anjou-Könige und Sigismunds von Luxemburg // Acta Historiae Artium Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae. 1984. Iss. 30. P. 45; Tihányiová M. A Pelsőci Bebek család temetkezési helyei és síremlékei // Történet és Muzeológia — Internetes Folyóirat Miskolcon. 2018–2019. Vol. 5, iss. 1–2. P. 130–143). — Magister tavarnicorum until 1393, Ladislas Bebek ceased to play an active role on the kingdom’s political stage after this date. He remained active until 1403 and was mentioned as deceased only in 1404. However, his tomb slab was executed earlier, as indicated by the 1401 date carved on it.
44 DL 5522, Hungarian National Archives, Budapest, 1399, wax, diameter 5.5 cm (Tihányiová M. A Pelsőci Bebek család temetkezési helyei és síremlékei. P. 136, fig. 2.2). For this heraldic variation, see also: Nagy I. Magyarország családai… P. 262–263.
45 Năstăsoiu D. Gh. Between Personal Devotion… P. 127.
46 For such examples, see: Ibid. P. 129–155.
the images of the sancti reges Hungariae. This, of course, did not eliminate their high personal devotion towards St. Ladislas, St. Stephen, and St. Emeric.

As this discussion of visual sources has revealed, in the troubled context of the political crisis of the early 15th century, the leaders of the anti-Sigismund coalition — namely, the Archbishop of Esztergom John Kanizsai and the Palatine of Hungary Detre Bebek — relied with consistency on the cults and iconography of St. Ladislas and of the other sancti reges Hungariae. They repeatedly commissioned works of art (e.g., seals, stained-glass windows, and murals) which featured the images of the three Holy Kings of Hungary (St. Stephen, St. Emeric, and St. Ladislas), and incorporated the realm's heraldry (Árpádian double cross) into their personal coats of arms. The reliance of John Kanizsai and Detre Bebek on the cults and images of the patron saints of the country blended harmoniously the commissioners' personal piety and political ambition. By expressing their devotion towards the patron saints of the country and by commissioning works of art with their image, the two leaders of the anti-royal movement hoped to attract the holy kings' divine support in favor of their political cause. Moreover, by appropriating the realm's heraldry and by incorporating it into their personal coat of arms, the Bebeks asserted their self-importance and identified themselves strongly with the realm and its political fate. This wide range of visual propaganda tools employed by the two leaders of the anti-Sigismund coalition was meant to prove the legitimacy of their political cause. As shown previously, the propagandistic usage of these visual tools was reinforced by the insertion of other heraldic, political, and spiritual symbols into elaborated rituals and symbolic actions (e.g., oath-swearing and coronations). In the context of the early-15th century political crisis, the appropriation of the ideal figures of St. Ladislas and the other two sancti reges Hungariae became the driving force behind the Hungarian noblemen's political cause. The involvement of the holy kings in elaborated rituals and symbolic actions was meant to activate the link between secular and religious spheres, the performers hoping to attract the divine approval in this way. However, positive outcome for symbolic and ritual actions was not always granted by divinity, as illustrated by the failure of the anti-royal coalition to reach their goal.
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