

Review

Master thesis:

International cooperation facing new security challenges: theoretical explanation

Master student: *Shahin Huseynzade*

Saint-Petersburg State University

1. Assessment of the thesis

№ п. п.	Criteria	grades: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • excellent (5) • good (4) • satisfactory (3) • non-satisfactory (2) 	comments
1.	Relevance of the topic (AOM: OKM-13, 22; AM: OKM-8,16, ПК-36; ПОМ: ПК-6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • excellent (5) 	The topic is highly relevant, it is important for various international actors. It is widely discussed by the politicians and scholars.
2.	Novelty (AOM: OKM-13, 22; AM: OKM-8,16, ПК-36; ПОМ: ПК-6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • good (4) 	The author has a rather ambitious idea to reconsider theoretical interpretation of international cooperation facing new security challenges, but it was necessary to explain it in a more detailed way.
3.	Correctness of goal setting, interrelatedness of goal and objectives (AOM: OKM- 9, 13, 32; AM: ПК-10, 11; ПОМ: OKM-12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • good (4) 	The aim of the research is indicated in a clear way showing direction of the research but it limits the topic mainly to environmental challenges. The author also determines a set of tasks enabling him to proceed with the research.
4.	Completeness and quality of the primary sources (AOM: ПК-17; AM: OKM- 24; ПОМ: OKM-18, ПК-13)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • excellent (5) 	The author has collected a vast variety of primary sources. The introduction includes an overview of the primary sources used in the paper.
5.	Study of the existing research literature on the topic (AOM: ПК-17; AM: OKM- 24; ПОМ: OKM-18, ПК-13)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • excellent (5) 	The author has studied a vast variety of secondary sources, in particular theoretical literature.

6.	Selection of research methods (AOM: OKM-2; AM: OKM- 10, ИК-26; ИОМ: ОКМ-11, 14)	• good (4)	The research would benefit from a more profound analytical and methodological framework.
7.	The goal of the research is achieved (AOM: ОКМ-1,7, ИК-33; AM: ОКМ- 17, ИК-12, 37; ИОМ: ОКМ-14, ИК-14, 17)	• excellent (5)	The concluding remarks are clear and well-argued. The research purpose has been achieved.
8.	Quality of the text (AOM: ОКМ-1; AM: ОКМ-8; ИОМ: ОКМ-7)	• excellent (5)	The author provided a high quality research. The text is nicely phrased and properly arranged.
9.	Evaluation of the student's research activity	• good (4)	<i>Shahin Huseynzade</i> was doing the research throughout the two years of studying considering theoretical framework, collecting information and analyzing data.
Average rating:		• excellent (4,55)	

2. Conclusions and recommendations to the Evaluation Commission:

This master thesis is a high quality research in terms of theoretical framework, analytical capabilities and writing skills. It corresponds to the criteria for master thesis in International Relations.

3. Recommended grade (excellent, good, satisfactory, non-satisfactory):

Excellent.

«8» June 2019



Natalia G. Zaslavskaya,
Ph.D., Associate Professor
European Studies Department