Review of the academic supervisor

Master thesis: " **U.S.-Russian Crisis Prevention in the XXI c.: challenges and contemporary developments**"

Master student:
 William Cheyne Marshall

1. **Assessment of the thesis**

№ п. п.	Criteria	grades: • excellent (5) • good (4) • satisfactory (3) • non-satisfactory (2)	comments
1.	**Relevance of the topic** (АОМ: ОКМ-13, 22; АМ: ОКМ-8,16, ПК-36; ПОМ: ПК-6)	5	
2.	**Novelty** (АОМ: ОКМ-13, 22; АМ: ОКМ-8,16, ПК-36; ПОМ: ПК-6)	5	
3.	**Correctness of goal setting, interrelatedness of goal and objectives** (АОМ: ОКМ- 9, 13, 32; АМ: ПК-10, 11; ПОМ: ОКМ-12)	5	
4.	**Completeness and quality of the primary sources** (АОМ: ПК-17; АМ: ОКМ- 24; ПОМ: ОКМ-18, ПК-13)	5	
5.	**Study of the existing research literature on the topic** (АОМ: ПК-17; АМ: ОКМ- 24; ПОМ: ОКМ-18, ПК-13)	5	
6.	**Selection of research methods** (АОМ: ОКМ-2; АМ: ОКМ- 10, ПК-26; ПОМ: ОКМ-11, 14)	4	Most of the thesis' chapters and subchapters are descriptive
7.	**The goal of the research is achieved** (АОМ: ОКМ-1,7, ПК-33; АМ: ОКМ- 17, ПК-12, 37; ПОМ: ОКМ-14, ПК-14, 17)	5	
8.	**Quality of the text** (АОМ: ОКМ-1; АМ: ОКМ-8; ПОМ: ОКМ-7)	5	
9.	**Performance evaluation** (АОМ: ОКМ-23; АМ: ОКМ-19; ПОМ: ОКМ-13, 23, 27)	5	
Average rating:		5	

2. **Conclusions and recommendations to the Evaluation Commission:**
   The thesis presents a well-structured, complete and original research of the problem. The conclusions are based on good material collected and properly used by the author.

3. **Recommended grade:** Excellent

«__12__» ___June_____ 2020

Dr. Andrey Pavlov, Professor,
St. Petersburg State University