

## REVIEW

by research supervisor of the graduate qualification paper submitted by the second-year student of the  
**“International Relations (in English)”** master’s program at SPbSU Ivanenko Alexandra

titled **“DOUBLE STANDARTS IN MASS MEDIA COVERAGE OF THE INTERNATIONAL  
 CONFLICTS ON THE EXAMPLE OF SYRIAN CRISIS”**

### Assessment of the paper:

No.	<b>Assessment Criteria</b> (model of the master’s program: codes of skills)	<b>Grade:</b>	<b>Reviewer’s Comments</b> (mandatory for those criteria on which the paper is assessed critically or downgraded)
1.	<b>Academic relevance of the research problem</b> (AOM: УKM-1; УKM-7; ПКА-5; ПКА-10; ПОМ: УKM-1; УKM-7; ПКП-4;)	excellent (5)	
2.	<b>Scholarly contribution by the author</b> (AOM: УKM-1; ПКА-5; ПКА-6; ПКА-10; ПКА-11; ПКП-5; ПКП-9; ПОМ: УKM-1; ПКП-1; ПКП-7)	excellent (5)	
3.	<b>Appropriateness of the research objective, coherence of research objective and research tasks</b> (AOM: УKM-1; УKM-2; ПКА-2; ПКА-11; ПОМ: УKM-1; УKM-2; ПКП-3; ПКП-4)	excellent (5)	
4.	<b>Quality of the empirical scope and of the primary sources review</b> (AOM: УKM-8; ПКА-4; ПКА-7; ПКП-4; ПОМ: УKM-8; ПКА-1; ПКП-6)	excellent (5)	
5.	<b>Comprehensiveness of secondary sources (academic literature) employed by the author</b> (AOM: УKM-6; УKM-8; ПКА-7; ПКП-3 ПОМ: УKM-6; УKM-8; ПКА-1; ПКП-1)	excellent (5)	
6.	<b>Adequacy of chosen research methods to the stated research objective and research tasks</b> (AOM: УKM-8; ПКА-2; ПКА-8; ПКА-10; ПОМ: УKM-8; ПКА-1; ПКП-4)	excellent (5)	
7.	<b>Correspondence of empirical results to the stated research objective and research tasks</b> (AOM: УKM-1; УKM-4; УKM-5; ПКА-5; ПКА-6; ПКА-11; ПКП-3; ПКП-9; ПОМ: УKM-1; УKM-4; УKM-5; ПКА-2; ПКП-4; ПКП-7)	excellent (5)	
8.	<b>Text formatting and design</b> (AOM: УKM-4; УKM-8; ПКА-7; ПКП-6; ПОМ: УKM-4; УKM-8; ПКА-2; ПКП-2)	excellent (5)	
9.	<b>Diligence, consistency, and responsibility demonstrated by the student when writing the paper</b> (AOM: УKM-2; УKM-7; ПКА-10; ПКП-8; ПОМ: УKM-2; УKM-7; ПКП-4; ПКП-5)	excellent (5)	

<sup>1</sup> If the paper is assessed as “unsatisfactory” based on one of the criteria, the overall recommended grade for the paper is to be “unsatisfactory”, in which case a reviewer presents his/her detailed arguments in the Comments section as well as in the Conclusion/Recommendations section.

<b>Average grade:</b>	excellent (5)
-----------------------	---------------

**1. Conclusion/Recommendations for the evaluation commission:**

The study has been conducted by the student with minimal participation of the research supervisor. This proves that A. Ivanenko is able to carry on a research without assistance. Only on the last stage of the preparation of the work A. Ivanenko showed the work to me. But nevertheless to my opinion A. Ivanenko was able to write an interesting work on very important topic that meets the requirements for works of such level.

**2. Recommended grade: excellent (5)**

«5» June 2020

 **K. A. Pantserev**