

Review of the academic adviser

Master thesis: Autonomous weapon system and artificial intelligence: the problems of arms control

Master student: Chenrui Gu

Assessment of the thesis

№ п. п.	Criteria	grades: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • excellent (5) • good (4) • satisfactory (3) • non-satisfactory (2) 	comments
1.	Relevance of the topic (AOM: УKM-1; УKM-7; ПКА-5; ПКА-10; ПОМ: УKM-1; УKM-7; ПКП-4.)	5	
2.	Academic Novelty (AOM: УKM-1; ПКА-5; ПКА-6; ПКА-10; ПКА-11; ПКП-5; ПКП-9; ПОМ: УKM-1; ПКП-1; ПКП-7)	5	
3.	Correctness of goal setting, interrelatedness of goal and objectives (AOM: УKM-1; УKM-2; ПКА-2; ПКА-11; ПОМ: УKM-1; УKM-2; ПКП-3; ПКП-4)	4	The objectives (tasks) do not fully correspond to the goal of the research. A comprehensive study of the current international political dialogue about setting control over AWS is not included in the list of objectives. Systemic analysis of factors that prevent states from setting the control over AWS is also not part of the list of objectives.
4.	Completeness and quality of the primary sources (AOM: УKM-8; ПКА-4; ПКА-7; ПКП-4; ПОМ: УKM-8; ПКА-1; ПКП-6)	4	The scope of sources is broad. However, the analysis of the sources is not complete and comprehensive.
5.	Study of the existing research literature on the topic (AOM: УKM-6; УKM-8; ПКА-7; ПКП-3 ПОМ: УKM-6; УKM-8; ПКА-1; ПКП-1)	4	The author studied a broad variety of secondary sources but did not evaluate the literature critically enough.
6.	Selection of research methods in accordance with the research question (AOM: УKM-8; ПКА-2; ПКА-8; ПКА-10; ПОМ: УKM-8; ПКА-1; ПКП-4)	4	In many parts, the work is descriptive. The author simply summarizes evaluations and conclusions of different authors.
7.	The goal of the research is achieved (AOM: УKM-1; УKM-4; УKM-5; ПКА-5; ПКА-6; ПКА-11; ПКП-3; ПКП-9; ПОМ: УKM-1; УKM-4; УKM-5; ПКА-2; ПКП-4; ПКП-7)	3	The conclusion of the dissertation does not include any unique original ideas or assessments.
8.	Quality of the text AOM: УKM-4; УKM-8; ПКА-7; ПКП-6; ПОМ: УKM-4; УKM-8; ПКА-2; ПКП-2)	3	There are a lot of stylistic mistakes that make it difficult to read and understand the text.
9.	Student's performance evaluation AOM: УKM-2; УKM-7; ПКА-10; ПКП-8; ПОМ: УKM-2; УKM-7; ПКП-4; ПКП-5)	5	The student demonstrated good discipline and has been working routinely through all 4 semester.
Average rating:		4,1	

Conclusions and recommendations to the Evaluation Commission: The student accumulated a good factual knowledge about the subject, but did not succeed in critically assessing the facts and performing a systemic analysis of the problem.

Recommended grade: good

11 June 2021

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'Hart', is located in the upper right quadrant of the page.