

REVIEW OF MASTER THESIS

Thesis Tourist and recreational load optimization on seaside destinations

(for example, the city of Anapa)

Author (full name) Kobylianskaia Anna

Education Programme «Tourist Destination Management»

Level of education master programme

Scientific supervisor Isachenko T.E., Associate Professor,

Candidate of Geograph Sciences

(full name, academic rank, academic degree)

Reviewer Arutyunov O.D., FSBI «Black Sea-Azov Directorate for Technical Support of Maritime Surveillance», environmental engineer, Associate Professor,

Candidate of Biological Sciences

(full name, place of work, position, academic rank, academic degree)

Requirements for professional training	5	4	3	2	*
Relevance of the topic of the work	✓				
The degree of completeness of the review of the state of the issue and the correctness of the problem statement		✓			
The level and correctness of the use of research methods, mathematical modeling, calculations, etc. in the work.	✓				
The degree of complexity of the work, the use of knowledge of general professional and special disciplines in it		✓			
The clarity and precision, consistency of the research, sequence and reasoned presentation	✓				
Application of modern software, using GIS, computer and other technologies	✓				
The rules of documents execution (general level of literacy, style of presentation, quality of illustrations, compliance with the requirements of the standard)	✓				
The volume and quality of the graphic material, its correspondence to the text		✓			
Originality and novelty of the obtained results, research or applied solutions		✓			
The degree of independence of the work performed	✓				

* – not rated (hard to rate)

Noted advantages of the work The necessity of the proposed measures to conserve the resources of recreational areas exposed to the tourist load is sufficiently fully stated.

Noted disadvantages of the work The progression dynamics when using the work is not displayed graphically enough.

Reviewer's conclusion The dissertation work submitted for review corresponds to the topic and is well done.

Reviewer Candidate of Biological Sciences, Arutyunov O.D. May «18» 20 21

