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ABSTRACT: Photochemistry of copper(II) monochlorocomplexes in methanol and acetonitrile solutions is studied by UV-pump/broadband deep-UV-to-near-IR probe femtosecond transient absorption spectroscopy. Upon 255 and 266 nm excitation, the complexes in acetonitrile and methanol, respectively, are promoted to the excited ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) state, which has a short (sub-250 fs) lifetime. From the LMCT state, the complexes decay via internal conversion to lower-lying ligand field (LF) d−d excited states or the vibrationally hot ground electronic state. A minor fraction of the excited complexes relaxes to the LF electronic excited states, which are relatively long-lived with lifetimes >1 ns. Also, in methanol solutions, about 3% of the LMCT-excited copper(II) monochlorocomplexes dissociate forming copper(I) solvatocomplexes and chlorine atoms, which then further react forming long-lived photoproducts. In acetonitrile, about 50% of the LMCT-excited copper(II) monochlorocomplexes dissociate forming radical and ionic products in a ratio of 3:2. Another minor process observed following excitation only in methanol solutions is the re-equilibration between several forms of the copper(II) ground-state complexes present in solutions. This re-equilibration occurs on a time scale from sub-nanoseconds to nanoseconds.

1. INTRODUCTION

Copper complexes have been the subject of extensive studies for a long time. A wide range of the interrelated topics to which copper complexes are central, such as copper metal protein structures and properties, chemical reaction dynamics, catalysis, and photobiology was investigated. Photo- and thermochemistry of soluble copper complexes is also of importance to applied chemistry, especially in laser-induced deposition of metal copper from solution. It is possible to control electrochemical, catalytic and sensor properties of such metal micro- and nanostructured materials by varying the laser parameters and the solution composition. For these studies, nonaqueous solutions are of particular interest because up to now most of the laser-induced metal deposition experiments were performed in aqueous media. Copper(II) complexes are labile, and therefore, they have been used as model systems to study the dynamics of the ligand exchange mechanism. Also, copper plays a significant role in the metabolism of the human’s body. Copper enzymes perform important functions, such as the catalysis of biological reactions (for example, conversion of dopamine to noradrenaline), electron transfer, and protection of cells against free radicals. Copper metabolic disorder leads to arthritis, mental disorders, and loss of fertility and even to life-threatening diseases such as Menkes’ syndrome and Wilson’s disease. In photobiology, copper based proteins, such as plastocyanin and umecyanin, are responsible for electron transfer in photosynthesis processes. In plants and cyanobacteria, light energy is captured to be stored in the form of sugars by two proteins called photosystem I and photosystem II. Plastocyanin is a copper(II)-based protein, which transfer electrons from photosystem II to photosystem I. Plastocyanin is an actual biological electron transfer. Dynamics of copper(II) complexes following LMCT excitation was most actively studied for blue copper proteins, such as plastocyanin, human ceruloplasmin, umecyanin, rusticyanin, azurin, etc., where it occurs on an ultrafast time scale. However, there is no unique interpretation of the photophysical mechanism. For example, some authors have demonstrated that LMCT excitation of blue copper proteins...
resulted in direct internal conversion into the ground electronic state in less than 300 fs.21,22,27–29 Meanwhile, others authors have shown that excitation of blue copper proteins into the LMCT state led to a stepwise relaxation into the ground state via lower-lying LF states.20,23,24 Ab initio calculations of plastocyanin at ORMAS/MCSCF and CASSCF/MCQDPT levels of theory show that the LMCT states are repulsive above the Cu–S(Cys) reaction coordinate, and the LMCT states cross the ligand field (LF) excited states and the ground state at the Cu–S(Cys) distances longer than 2.7 Å, and the Cu–N(His) distance of about 2.1 Å.25 The molecular dynamics study of plastocyanin predicts that the LMCT states relax to the LF states through either ballistic and coherent potential energy surface crossing within 70–80 and 500 fs, respectively.26

In a large number of studies, in which time-resolved spectroscopy20,31 and steady-state photochemical methods, such as spectrophotometrical analysis32–36 and separation of photoreaction products followed by their identification37–39 were used, it has been shown that the LMCT excited states of copper(II) complexes are dissociative, usually resulting in the photodestruction of copper(II) to copper(I). In these studies, the authors reported low quantum yields of the copper(II) formation rarely exceeding 10%, indicating that the LMCT states predomnately relax into the electronic ground state rather than dissociate. In addition, the LF and LMCT excited states are known to form exciplexes with the Lewis bases such as THF, DMF, DMSO, acetonitrile, pyridine, etc.40–42

Photochemistry of the copper(II) halide complexes has been studied mostly by analyzing the reaction mixture after continuous irradiation.34–39 Briefly, LMCT excitation of the halide complexes results in photodestruction of copper(II) to copper(I) and halogen atom formation with a quantum yield of several percent. Halogen atoms then can react with other components of the reaction mixture, for example, with the solvent molecules.54,57 In our previous work by means of femtosecond pump–probe spectroscopy, we showed that upon LMCT excitation of the copper(II) monochlorocomplex in methanol, the major relaxation pathway is internal conversion into the vibrationally hot ground electronic state.31 However, a minor portion of the excited molecules undergoes photodissociation with the formation of copper(I) solvatocomplexes and chlorine atoms. The lifetime of the monitored LMCT excited state was shorter than the temporal width of the instrument response function (≈ 200 fs, fs/hs).

In this work, we have studied copper(II) monochlorocomplexes following LMCT excitation in methanol and acetonitrile solutions by means of femtosecond transient absorption spectroscopy with broadband deep-UV-to-near-IR probing (212–760 nm). In addition to previously reported nonradiative relaxation pathways, namely, internal conversion into the vibrationally hot ground electronic state and breaking of a Cu–Cl bond with the elimination of the chlorine atom, the long-lived (lifetime >1 ns) LF excited state for copper(II) monochlorocomplexes in both solvents and Cu–Cl bond breaking with the elimination of the chloride ion for copper(II) monochlorocomplex in acetonitrile are observed.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Time-resolved transient absorption (ΔA) spectra were measured using the experimental setup based on a regeneratively amplified Ti:sapphire laser system (Hurricane, Spectra-Physics, 800 nm, 1 kHz) that has been described previously.31,43–49 An optical parametric amplifier (TOPAS-C, Light Conversion Ltd.) was used to generate 255 or 266 nm excitation ("pump") pulses. "Probe" pulses were produced either by using another TOPAS-C in the range from 210 to 384 nm or by using white-light continuum in the 340–760 nm range generated by focusing a small portion of the amplified 800 nm light into a 3 mm CaF₂ window. The probe beam was focused onto the sample to a 160-μm diameter spot and overlapped at an angle of 6° with the pump beam focused to a 460-μm diameter spot. To eliminate the contributions of solute rotational motion to ΔA signals, the polarization of the pump beam was set at the magic angle (54.7°) with respect to that of the probe beam. The pump pulse energy was 6 μJ. The linearity of copper(II) chlorocomplexes-related ΔA signals with excitation energy was ensured. The measured solutions were circulated through a 0.2 mm Spectrosil UV quartz flow cell.

All ΔA signals were corrected for the group velocity dispersion (GVD) of the probe light with the 25 fs accuracy, utilizing the peak position of the instrument response function (IRF) signals, namely Gaussian-shaped ΔA signals observed in neat solvents as a result of the simultaneous absorption of a pump and a probe photon when the pump and probe pulses temporally overlap.75,50–52 The description of the ΔA time evolution of the selected kinetics traces was obtained by fitting the data to a sum of exponential functions: ΔA(t)_{expt} = A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i e^{-t/\tau_i}, where λ is the probe wavelength, t is the delay time, N = 2–4 is the number of exponential functions, A_0 is the permanent signal amplitude that that does not decay within the investigated time scale of 1 ns, \tau_i is the time constant, and A_i is the signal amplitude of the i exponential component. For a specific fit, the number of exponential components was chosen such that further increase of the number of the exponential components does not improve the goodness of the fit judged by its r² value.

Copper(II) perchlorate hydrate hexahydrate (98%), tetraethylammonium perchlorate (>98%), tetaethylammonium chloride (>98%), lithium chloride (>99%), copper powder (>99%), murexide, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt aqueous solution (0.1 M), ammonium chloride (≥99.5%), ammonium hydroxide aqueous solution (28.0–30.0%), methanol (≥99.8%), and acetonitrile (>99.5%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Tetraethylammonium chloride (>98%), tetaethylammonium perchlorate (>98%), and lithium chloride (>99%) were dried in vacuum oven at 110 °C for 10 h. Chloroacetone (95%) was purchased from Alfa Aesar, and used without additional purification. Tetrakis(acetonitrile)copper(I) perchlorate was prepared by the reduction of copper(II) perchlorate by copper powder in acetonitrile, followed by drying under argon.51,53 To prepare copper(II)-containing solutions, stock solutions of copper(II) perchlorate ([Cu^II+]) = 0.2 M) were prepared by dissolving copper(II) perchlorate hexahydrate in acetonitrile and methanol, which was followed by complexometric titration. Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt solution (0.1 M), and murexide were used as titrant and indicator, respectively. The titration was performed in ammonium chloride–ammonium hydroxide buffer solution, containing 0.1 M ammonium chloride and 0.1 M ammonium hydroxide.

The UV–vis–NIR–IR absorption spectra were measured using Varian Cary 50 UV–vis and Perkin Elmer Lambda 750 UV–vis–IR spectrophotometers. The UV absorption spectra measured before and after time-resolved measurements have shown the sample decomposition less than a few percent. All experiments were performed at 21 °C.
3. RESULTS

3.1. Steady-State Absorption. In methanol and acetonitrile solutions, copper(II) ions form \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeOH)}_6]^{2+}\) and \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeCN)}_4]^{2+}\) solvatocomplexes, respectively. Upon addition of chloride ions, the formation of highly labile chlorocomplexes \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeOH)}_6\text{Cl}]^{+}\) and \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeCN)}_4\text{Cl}_2]^{-}\) takes place, for methanol and acetonitrile solutions, respectively. These copper(II) chlorocomplexes have the characteristic electronic absorption spectra with the spectral shape depending on the number of the chloride ligands and the nature of the solvent. For methanol and acetonitrile solutions, the absorption spectra of the individual complexes and their overall stability constants are known, as shown in Figure 1. The equilibrium concentrations of the copper(II) chlorocomplexes are determined by the initial concentrations of copper(II) and chloride ions. Fractional distribution of the copper(II) chlorocomplexes depending on initial concentration of chloride ions at the constant initial concentrations of copper(II) ions (50 mM) in methanol and acetonitrile was estimated using the “Medusa” software, developed by Ignasi Puigdomenech, Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Sweden. To maximize the relative concentration of the copper(II) monochlorocopper complexes, following solutions were utilized in the experiments: 50 mM Cu(ClO4)2−25 mM LiCl in methanol and 50 mM Cu(ClO4)2−25 mM NEt4Cl in acetonitrile.

Under these conditions, using the known stability constants, we calculated with the help of “Medusa” software that more than 93% of copper(II) exist as a mixture of the pure solvatocomplexes and monochlorocopper complexes, with a small contribution from the dichlorocomplexes (Figure 2). Specifically, the mixture consists of 44% of \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeOH)}_6\text{Cl}]^{+}\), 49% of \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeOH)}_4\text{Cl}_2]^{-}\), and 7% of \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeOH)}_5\text{Cl}]^{+}\) in methanol. In acetonitrile, the mixture consists of 62% of \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeCN)}_4\text{Cl}_2]^{-}\), 36% of \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeCN)}_4\text{Cl}]^{-}\), and 2% of \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeCN)}_5\text{Cl}]^{-}\). Moreover, the measured steady-state spectra of the 50 mM Cu(ClO4)2−25 mM LiCl solution in methanol and 50 mM Cu(ClO4)2−25 mM NEt4Cl solution in acetonitrile can be fitted to a sum of the absorption spectra of the pure copper(II) solvatocomplexes, monochlorocopper complexes, and dichlorocopper complexes (Figure 2). In these fits, the known molecular extinction coefficients were held constant and the concentrations of these complexes were varied. The best fit results in the following fractional distribution: 44% of \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeOH)}_6\text{Cl}]^{+}\), 49% of \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeOH)}_4\text{Cl}_2]^{-}\), and 7% of \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeOH)}_5\text{Cl}]^{+}\) in methanol, and 51% of \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeCN)}_4\text{Cl}_2]^{-}\), 47% of \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeCN)}_4\text{Cl}]^{-}\), and 2% of \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeCN)}_5\text{Cl}]^{-}\) in acetonitrile. Thus, the fractional distributions obtained from the fit of the steady-state absorption spectra and those calculated by “Medusa” software agree reasonably well. The small difference in the values probably arises from the experimental accuracy in determining Cu(ClO4)2 and NEt4Cl concentrations (±5%), and from not taking into account the ionic strengths of solutions in “Medusa” calculations. Using the results of the absorption fit the excitation wavelength was set to 255 and 266 nm in transient absorption experiments to preferentially excite the \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeOH)}_6\text{Cl}]^{+}\) complex in methanol and the \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeCN)}_4\text{Cl}]^{+}\) complex in acetonitrile, respectively.

To characterize the UV–vis spectrum of a thermally stable copper(I) solvatocopper complex in acetonitrile, tetraakis (acetonitrile) copper(I) perchlorate (3 mM) was dissolved in deoxygenated acetonitrile. The absorption spectrum of the copper(I) solvatocopper complex in methanol was adopted from the previous work. Copper(I) solvatocopper complexes are expected to adopt the tetrahedral structure in these solvents: \([\text{Cu}^{I} \text{(MeOH)}_4]^{+}\) and \([\text{Cu}^{I} \text{(MeCN)}_4]^{+}\). The electronic absorption spectrum of \([\text{Cu}^{I} \text{(MeOH)}_4]^{+}\) consists of an intense band with the maximum at 211 nm (ε = 6800 M−1 cm−1) and two shoulders at about 240 and 290 nm, whereas that of \([\text{Cu}^{I} \text{(MeCN)}_4]^{+}\) consists of a highly intense band with the maximum at 209 nm (ε = 19800 M−1 cm−1) with a shoulder at 230 nm (Figure SS, 7).

3.2. Ultrafast Transient Absorption Measurements.

3.2.1. Methanol. Previously, the photochemistry of the copper(II) monochlorocopper complex in methanol was studied by means of ultrafast transient absorption with probing in the UV range. In this work, we investigated a significantly broader probing range from 212 to 760 nm (Figure 3). The wide range of probe wavelengths is a superb advantage for the detection of the intermediates at different stages of the reaction, during which they absorb photons with significantly different energies. Ultrafast time-resolved transient absorption ΔA spectra and corresponding kinetic traces following 255 nm excitation of 50 mM Cu(ClO4)2−25 mM LiCl methanol solution are shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4.
Transient absorption spectra were also measured for the neat solvent under the same experimental conditions. The solvent signal becomes negligibly small starting from the delay time of 0.5 ps for the deep-UV range of the transient absorption spectra (212−250 nm), and from 0.3 ps for the near-IR range (250−760 nm). For the delay time of 0.2 ps, the solvent signal is small relatively to the signal from the sample solution. The solvent contribution to the solution ΔA signal is reduced by a factor of 5 to a small/negligibly small level by using the sample with the large solute absorbance at the 255 nm excitation wavelength (A = 1.5). In the solution, the entire spectral evolution is dominated by the two broad positive absorption signals (212−225 and 300−550 nm) and the broad negative signal (225−300 nm). These bands, although with some solvent contribution are already formed at the very short time (100 and 200 fs ΔA spectra, Supporting Information). Between 0.3 and 1 ps, the negative signal decays and reshapes. The broad absorption in the 300−550 nm range narrows and blue-shifts, leading to the band centered at 306 nm. The spectral blue-shift of this band is accompanied by a partial cancellation of the ground-state bleach, and as a result, the blue-shift of the ΔA = 0 crossing point. Between 1 and 10 ps, the 212 nm band gradually develops (time constant, 1.9 ps), the ground-state bleach partially recovers (time constant, ≈ 0.6 ps), and the 306 nm band significantly decays (time constant, ≈ 1 ps), becoming again the broad band at 10 ps. Between 10 and 1000 ps, the 212 nm band decays (time constant, >2 ns) and the ground-state bleach recovers and reshapes (time constant, > 2 ns). The blue wing (300−450 nm) of the broad 300−550 nm band decays (time constant, 170 ps), whereas the red part of this band does not change in the intensity between 10 and 500 ps, and even exhibits the rise between 500 and 1000 ps. The 1 ns ΔA spectrum consists of 212, 310, and 450 nm bands and the ground-state bleach.

The description of the time evolution of the selected ΔA kinetics traces shown in Figure 4 is obtained by fitting them to a sum of exponential functions and a permanent signal (Table S1, Supporting Information). The 214 nm kinetic trace is described by the four components: τ1 < 0.5 ps (rise, major), τ2 ≈ 1.9 ± 0.2 ps (rise, major), τ3 ≈ 51 ps (decays, minor), and τ4 ≈ 6000 ps (decays, minor). The 234 nm kinetic trace displays four components: τ1 = 0.7 ± 0.1 ps (negative signal rise, major), τ2 = 3.8 ± 0.5 ps (negative signal decay, major), τ3 = 170 ± 40 ps, and τ4 = 6000 ps (negative signal decay, both minor, similar to 214 nm). The 260 nm kinetic trace is described by the two components: τ1 = 0.6 ± 0.1 ps (negative signal decay, major, similar to 234 nm), and τ2 = 3000 ps. The exponential fit of the 312 nm kinetic trace demonstrates that the initial rise of the ΔA signal (τ1 = 0.4 ± 0.1 ps) is followed by one major fast decay (τ2 = 1.4 ± 0.3 ps) component and two slower minor decay components, τ3 ≈ 13 ps and τ4 = 170 ± 40 ps. The ΔA time evolution at 350 and 400 nm is dominated by fast major decay (τ1(350 nm) = 1.0 ± 0.1 ps, τ1(400 nm) = 0.6 ± 0.1 ps) followed by two minor decay components, τ2 = 8 ± 2 ps, τ3 = 170 ± 50 ps (similar to 234 and 312 nm). At 475 nm, the initial major decay, τ1 = 0.38 ± 0.01 ps, which at this wavelength is even faster than at 350 and 400 nm, is followed by a slow, minor rise, τ2 ~ 3000 ps.

3.2.2. Acetonitrile. Ultrafast time-resolved transient absorption ΔA spectra and corresponding kinetic traces following 266
transient absorption spectra (230−250 nm), and from 0.3 ps for the near-UV−vis range (250−760 nm). Similarly to copper(II) monochlorocomplexes in methanol, the entire spectral evolution of copper(II) monochlorocomplexes in acetonitrile is dominated by two broad positive transient absorption signals (212−250 nm and 320−800 nm) and the negative signal (250−320 nm), which are present already at very short times after excitation (100 and 200 fs, Supporting Information). The 0.3 ps ΔA spectrum consists of the 212 nm band, the ground-state bleach peaking at 290 nm, and the broad 320−760 nm signal. Between 0.3 and 1 ps, the transient absorption spectra do not demonstrate significant changes. Between 1 and 10 ps, the 212 nm band gradually decays (time constant, 8 ps), and ground-state bleach partially recovers (time constant, 2.4 ps). During the same time period, the 320−760 nm broad signal decays, narrows, and blue-shifts forming the 330 nm band with the 400 nm shoulder, Figure 5. The blue-shift of this band probably partially cancels the ground-state bleach. Between 10 and 1000 ps, the minor decay of the 212 nm band is accompanied by partial ground state bleach recovery (time constant ∼200 ps). The 330 nm band significantly decays (time constant, ∼200 ps) and reshapings to become again broad at 500 ps. The 1 ns ΔA spectrum shows the 212 nm band, the broad 330−760 nm induced absorption, and the ground-state bleach.

The description of the temporal evolution of the ΔA spectra at several selected wavelengths is shown in (Figure 6, main text and Table S1, Supporting Information). Similar to the case of methanol solutions, a sum of exponential functions (from two to four) and an offset adequately describes the selected ΔA kinetic traces. At 225 nm, the best-fit time constants obtained were $\tau_2 \sim 7.8 \pm 0.2$ ps (decay, minor) and $\tau_1 \sim 160 \pm 40$ ps (decay, minor), whereas $\tau_1$ could not be resolved because of the solvent contribution. The 290 nm kinetic trace is described by the two components: $\tau_1 = 2.4 \pm 0.1$ ps (negative signal decay, major) and $\tau_2 = 260 \pm 70$ ps (negative signal decay, minor, similar to 225 nm). The 330 nm kinetic trace consists of three components: $\tau_1 = 0.9 \pm 0.1$ ps (rise, major), $\tau_2 = 3.0 \pm 0.2$ ps (decay, major), and $\tau_3 = 210 \pm 10$ ps (decay, major, similar to 225 and 290 nm). Upon going to longer wavelengths, the amplitudes of the fast rise and decay major components decrease. Thus, the initial rise in the 360, 400, 500, and 600 nm kinetic traces ($\tau_1(360 \text{ nm}) = 0.8 \pm 0.1$ ps, $\tau_1(400 \text{ nm}) = 0.39 \pm 0.05$ ps, $\tau_1(500 \text{ nm}) = 0.17 \pm 0.05$ ps, $\tau_1(600 \text{ nm}) < 0.15$ ps) is followed by one major fast decay component ($\tau_2(360 \text{ nm}) = 2.1 \pm 0.1$ ps, $\tau_2(400 \text{ nm}) = 1.7 \pm 0.1$ ps, $\tau_2(500 \text{ nm}) = 1.1 \pm 0.1$ ps, $\tau_2(600 \text{ nm}) = 0.75 \pm 0.05$ ps) and two slower minor decay components, $\tau_3 \sim 13$ ps and $\tau_4 \sim 210$ ps.

### 3.3. Charge Transfer Complexes of the Chlorine Atom with the Solvent.

To identify the presence of a chlorine atom in the time-resolved spectra of copper(II) monochlorocomplexes in methanol and acetonitrile, the knowledge of the absorption of the subsequent MeOH−Cl and MeCN−Cl charge-transfer (CT) complexes is required. The absorption spectrum of MeOH−Cl CT complex was adopted from previous work,$^{31}$ where chloroacetone was used as a photochemical precursor of Cl atoms. In the current work in acetonitrile solutions, we also used photolysis of chloroacetone precursor to obtain the absorption spectrum of a MeCN−Cl CT complex, Figure 6S, Supporting Information. By analogy with chloroacetone in methanol, the broad 320−370 nm band in the 500 ps ΔA spectrum of chloroacetone in acetonitrile upon 266 nm excitation was assigned to a MeCN−Cl CT complex. The

![Figure 4](image-url) Short-time transient absorption kinetic traces (symbols) of a 50 mM Cu(ClO$_4$)$_2$−25 mM LiCl methanol solution following 255 nm excitation measured at probe wavelengths of 214, 234, 260, 312, 350, 400, and 475 nm shown in legends. Multiexponential fits (red lines) and short-time ΔA signals measured in neat methanol under the identical experimental conditions (green lines) are also shown. The insets display the same ΔA kinetic traces measured up to 1 ns.
extinction coefficient at the absorption maximum of the Cl-MeCN CT complex was assumed to be equal to that reported for the Cl-H2O62 and Cl-MeOH31 CT complexes, 4000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ Figure 7.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Electronic Absorption Spectra. A copper(II) cation exists in methanol solutions as [CuII(MeOH)₆]²⁺, a distorted octahedral complex with local environment symmetry of D₄h,54 and as [CuII(MeCN)₄]²⁺, a flattened tetrahedral complex with local environment symmetry of D₂d in acetonitrile solutions.55,56 Substitution of one of the solvent molecules by a chloride ion does not change significantly the geometry of the resulting chlorocomplexes.56 The electronic absorption spectra of the copper(II) complexes consist of highly intense UV bands corresponding to LMCT transitions, and near-IR bands of much lower intensity assigned to orbitally forbidden LF transitions between the d orbitals of a copper ion.31,57,58 The coordination number and the substitution number significantly affect the electronic spectra of copper(II) chlorocomplexes. Thus, the electronic spectra of methanol chlorocomplexes [CuII(MeOH)₆₋ₙClₙ]²⁻ (coordination number six)57,58 are more similar to aqua chlorocomplexes [CuII(H₂O)₆₋ₙClₙ]²⁻ (coordination number six)57,58 that is with the equal substitution number n, than with acetonitrile chlorocomplexes [CuII(MeCN)₄₋ₙClₙ]²⁻ (coordination number four), both for LMCT and LF bands. The effect of the substitution number can be easily observed from the electronic spectra of methanol and acetonitrile copper(II) complexes, Figure 1. The red shift of the LF bands with the increase of the substitution number can be easily explained based on the position of the methanol, acetonitrile molecules and the chloride ion in the spectrochemical series of ligands (Cl⁻ < MeOH < CH₃CN).64–68

Copper(II) monochlorocomplexes in methanol, [CuII(MeOH)Cl]²⁺, and in acetonitrile, [CuII(MeCN)Cl]²⁺, have the distorted octahedral C₄ᵥ and the distorted square planar D₂d symmetries, respectively. In the ligand fields of C₄ᵥ and D₂d symmetries, five degenerate d-orbitals of an isolated copper(II) ion split into four energy levels, one of them is double-degenerate in energy. A copper(II) ion has d⁹ configuration, and, therefore, three lower d→d orbitals are fully occupied, and there is one vacant position at the highest d→d molecular orbital. As a result, for these complexes one should observe three LF bands, and three LF excited states are possible. It is important to note that LF and LMCT excited state has the same multiplicity as the ground electronic state (one unpaired electron—multiplicity is doublet).

In methanol and acetonitrile solutions upon 255 and 266 nm excitation, respectively, copper(II) monochlorocomplexes (Figure 2) are predominately excited into the LMCT band. In methanol, upon 255 nm excitation [CuII(MeOH)Cl]²⁺, [CuII(MeOH)₆]²⁺, and [CuII(MeOH)₂Cl₂] complexes are excited in a ratio of 1.0:0.33:0.16. In acetonitrile, upon 266 nm excitation [CuII(MeCN)Cl]²⁺, [CuII(MeCN)₄]²⁺, and [CuII(MeCN)₂Cl₂] complexes are excited in a ratio of 1.0:0.11:0.12. The aforementioned ratios are obtained based on the relative absorbance of these complexes in solution. As we have shown in the previous work,57 excitation of small amounts of the unsubstituted and disubstituted copper(II)
chlorocomplexes does not affect the observed transient absorption dynamics.

4.2. Assignment of Transient Absorption. In our previous work \(^3\) we have shown that the UV part of the transient absorption spectra of copper(II) monochlorocomplexes is dominated at the short time after LMCT photoexcitation by the vibrationally hot electronic ground state \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeOH)}_{5} \text{Cl}]^{+}\) complex, which absorbs at \(310 \text{−} 384 \text{ nm}\), ground-state bleach of the \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeOH)}_{5} \text{Cl}]^{+}\) complex (230\(\text{−} 290 \text{ nm}\)) and the overcoordinated copper(I) complex (absorbing in the 212\(\text{−} 230 \text{ nm}\) range). The latter complex is formed as a product of radical dissociation of the initial \([\text{Cu}^{II} \text{(MeOH)}_{5} \text{Cl}]^{+}\) complex (Figure 3). The intermediate- and long-time \(\Delta A\) spectra (the UV part) are dominated by the ground state bleach of the equilibrated mixture of the copper(II) chlorocomplexes (240\(\text{−} 290 \text{ nm}\)), the absorption of radical dissociation products, the copper(I) solvatocomplex (\([\text{Cu}^{I} \text{(MeOH)}_{4}]^{+}\), 212\(\text{−} 240 \text{ nm}\)), and the absorption of the Cl-MeOH charge transfer (CT) complex (absorption maximum at 320 nm). The absorption of the Cl-MeOH CT complex overlaps the intense absorption of the vibrationally hot ground electronic state complex at short times after photoexcitation, and therefore, can be observed only after 10 ps. In the present work, transient absorption spectra have been measured in the extended broadband deep-UV-to-near-IR (212\(\text{−} 760 \text{ nm}\)) spectral probing range. The UV-part of the
The transient absorption spectra is similar to the previously published data,32 and therefore the above-mentioned spectral assignments have been used for the ΔΔ data. When probing in the extended spectral range, one can observe the weak transient absorption signals in the visible range (400–550 nm), which are revealed as a shoulder of the 320 nm transient absorption band at the short and intermediate delay times. At long times starting from 100 ps, the ΔΔ signals reshape forming the transient absorption band with the maximum at 410 nm, which then shifts to 450 nm at 1 ns. The number of possible photoproducts (CuI(MeOH))2+, Cu(MeOH))+, [Cu(MeOH)3]2+, [Cu(MeOH)4]2+, [Cu(MeOH)5]2+ , and Cl-MeOH, Cl−) can be ruled out because all these species absorb in the UV range. In addition, the vibrationally hot electronic ground state because vibrational relaxation occurs at the much faster time scale for small molecules in polar solvents,47,49 and the LMCT excited state due to its repulsive nature,25,26,70 and, as a result, the short lifetime, both can be ruled out. The possible assignments of the visible part of the transient absorption spectra are the LF excited states and a Cu(I)···CH3OH complex. The formation of the LF exciplexes of copper(II) porphyrins with Lewis bases, such as pyridine and pyridine derivatives was reported by Liu and coauthors.40 The observed absorption corresponds to the electronic transitions from the LF states to the LMCT states, and therefore, the extinction coefficient of these bands should be comparable with the extinction coefficient of the LMCT bands in the ground state spectrum. Indeed, we observed that the visible signal has the same order of magnitude as the ground state bleach signal. Additionally, a ~3 ns rise of the 460 nm band was observed as well as the isosbestic point at 440 nm. This observation demonstrates that the decay of the Cl-MeOH CT complex is accompanied by the formation of species peaking at 460 nm. The nanosecond flash-photolysis and pulse-radiolysis of the copper(II) chloride complexes in frozen ethanol matrices at 77 K71–75 has demonstrated the formation of the complexes between the copper(I) solvatocomplex and the CH3CH-OH radicals, Cu(I)···CH3CH*O. This complex exhibits the absorption maximum at 455 nm. Therefore, the 460 nm band can be assigned to the Cu(I)···CH3CH*O complex by analogy with ethanol solutions. Indeed, these species is probably formed as a result of the reaction of the photochemically formed chlorine atom and methanol molecule ligand of the copper(I) solvatocomplex byproduct: [Cu(CH3OH)4]2+ + Cl− = [CuCH3OH (CH3OH)2]+ + HCl.

The time evolution and the spectral shape of transient absorption spectra of copper(II) monochlororcomplex in acetonitrile, [CuII(MeCN)4]2+, [CuII(MeCN)3]2+, [CuII(MeCN)2]2+, [CuII(MeCN)]2+, and [CuI(MeCN)]+ dissociation, Figure 7A. The 7.8 ps decay time constant corresponds to the addition of the acetonitrile molecules to the initially formed undercoordinated copper(II) and copper(I) photoproducts: [CuII(MeCN)4]2+ + MeCN = [CuII(MeCN)2]2+, [CuII(MeCN)]2+ + MeCN = [CuII(MeCN)]+ , where the stable four-coordinated solvatocomplexes have the smaller extinction coefficients than the initially formed three-coordinated complexes. This assignment is supported by the analysis of the 1 ns ΔΔ spectrum, Figure 7B. Under the assumption that the contribution of the photoproducts of ionic and radical dissociation into the 1 ns ΔΔ spectrum is major, the 1 ns ΔΔ spectrum can be fitted as a sum of absorption of [CuII(MeCN)]2+, [CuII(MeCN)]+, the MeCN-CI CT complex, and [CuII(MeCN)2]. The best fit of the ΔΔ spectrum shows that 60% of the parent complexes dissociate into [CuII(MeCN)]4+ + Cl−-MeCN, and 40% of the [CuII(MeCN)2] form [CuII(MeCN)]2+ + Cl−. The explanation of the 10–1000 ps spectral evolution is rather complex. We note that in contrast to the copper(II) monochlororcomplex in methanol, the negative bleach reshaping is not observed for the intermediate and the long delay times (10–1000 ps) in acetonitrile solutions. This suggests the absence of the re-equilibration process. A possible reason for this phenomena is that an unsubstituted complex [CuII(MeCN)]2+ is initially formed as a photodissociation product, and the contribution of the disubstituted complex into the absorption spectrum is too small to be observed by the recovery reaction [CuII(MeCN)2]2+ + MeCN = [CuII(MeCN)2]2+ + Cl− (Figure 2). The observation of two isosbestic points at 255 and 300 nm for the 10–1000 ps ΔΔ spectra is evidence of a single dominating process on this time scale. This process is characterized by the longest time constant, about 200 ps. The recombination process [CuII(MeCN)]4+ + Cl−-MeCN = [CuII(MeCN)2]2+ + 2MeCN is ruled out because the CI-MeCN CT complex, which should be responsible for the decay of the near-UV–vis ΔΔ signal, has no absorption at wavelengths longer than 400 nm. Therefore, by analogy with the methanol solution, the LF excited state absorption contributes most to the 320–760 ΔΔ signal, somewhat contributes to the 212 nm band, and decays with a lifetime of about 200 ps as a result of relaxation to the electronic ground state. Interestingly, even the 1 ns ΔΔ spectrum shows positive transient absorption in the visible range. This means that another LF excited state forms with the lifetime longer than 1 ns, probably the lowest-energy LF state. It is important to note that the formation of CuI···CH3CN complex was not observed, because we did not observe any absorption maximum in the visible range or the picoseconds/nanosecond rise component of the transient signal for wavelengths longer than 400 nm. The acetonitrile molecule, CH3CN, is less likely to be involved in alpha-hydrogen radical substitution reaction with a chlorine atom than methanol, CH3OH, due to the inductive effect of the oxygen atom relatively to the nitrile carbon atom.

4.3. Mechanism. Upon 255 nm excitation, the copper(II) monochlororcomplex [CuII(MeOH)2]2+ in methanol solution is excited into the LMCT state (Figure 8). The populated LMCT state is repulsive, and therefore quickly (<250 fs) undergoes internal conversion into vibrational hot ground and
and \[\text{CuII(MeCN)}_4\text{Cl}_2^+\] complexes are present in solution in a ratio of 3:2. Similar to methanol solutions, chlorine atoms do not react with the acetonitrile solvent, Cl\[\cdot\]MeCN. A minor fraction of the excited complexes relaxes to the relatively long-lived LF electronic excited states (lifetimes >1 ns). Copper(II) monochlorocomplexes excited to the LMCT state tend to undergo breaking of a Cu–Cl bond. Indeed, in methanol solutions, about 3% of the LMCT excited \[\text{CuII(MeOH)}_4\text{Cl}_2^+\] complexes dissociate forming copper(I) solvatocomplexes, \[\text{CuII(MeOH)}_3^+\] and chloride ions. In methanol, a radical Cu(I)... CH\[\cdot\]OH complex observed for the first time at the room temperature is formed as a result of the reaction between the photochemically formed chlorine atom and one of methanol molecule ligands of the copper(I) solvatocomplex byproduct: \[\text{Cu}^\bullet(\text{CH}_3\text{OH})_2\text{H}_3^+ + \text{Cl}^\bullet = \text{Cu}^\bullet\text{CH}_3\text{OH}(\text{CH}_3\text{OH})_2^+ + \text{HCl}.\]

In acetonitrile, about 50% of the LMCT excited \[\text{CuII(MeCN)}_4\text{Cl}_2^+\] complexes undergo dissociation forming radical (copper(I) solvatocomplexes \[\text{CuII(MeCN)}_3^+\] and chlorine atoms) and ionic (copper(II) solvatocomplexes \[\text{CuII(MeCN)}_5^2+\] and chloride ions) products in a ratio 3:2. The observation that the excited copper(II) monochlorocomplexes dissociate into the photoproducts much more efficiently in acetonitrile than in methanol can be explained in terms of the solvent structure. In methanol, strong hydrogen bond network formed by the solvent molecules results in the presence of the strong solvent cage around a parent complex. The solvent cage effect in methanol results in fast geminate recombination of initially formed product species, the copper(I) solvatocomplex \[\text{CuII(MeOH)}_5^\circ\] and the chlorine atom, resulting in only 3% of the initially excited complexes ending up as the long-live photoproducts. Acetonitrile does not form hydrogen bonds, and therefore, photoproducts more easily separate after formation. Another, albeit a minor, process observed only in methanol solution is the re-equilibration of the copper(II) complexes present in solutions (copper(II) solvatocomplexes and dichlorocomplexes), in which the equilibrium concentrations perturbed by excitation of the monochlorocomplexes recover on a sub-nanosecond to nanosecond time scale. Re-equilibration processes are not detected in acetonitrile. The unsubstituted \[\text{CuII(MeCN)}_4^2+\] complex is initially formed as the photodissociation product, and the contribution of the dissubstituted complex into the absorption of the ground-state bleach signals at 0.3 ps and 1 ns delay times, we concluded that about 50% of the LMCT excited complexes dissociate forming the photoproducts. The ensuing vibrational relaxation occurs on a time scale of several picoseconds, and is complete at 10 ps. A minor portion of the LMCT state species populates different LF states. The complexes in high energy LF excited states relax to the lowest energy LF excited state as well as to the ground state (a time constant of about 200 ps). The lowest energy excited state is stable for longer than 1 ns.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The photochemistry of copper(II) monochlorocomplexes is studied in methanol and acetonitrile solutions using femtosecond transient absorption spectroscopy with broadband deep-UV-to-near-IR probing (212–760 nm). Excitation of copper(II) monochlorocomplexes \[\text{CuII(MeOH)}_3^2+\text{Cl}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}\] at 255 nm and excitation of copper(II) monochlorocomplexes \[\text{CuII(MeCN)}_4^2+\text{Cl}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}\] in acetonitrile at 266 nm leads to the population of the LMCT electronic state. The complexes in the LMCT state are short-lived (<250 fs) and decay either via internal conversion to lower-lying LF states or to the vibrationally hot ground electronic state. Vibrational relaxation occurs on a time scale of several picoseconds for both solvents. A minor fraction of the excited complexes relaxes to the photoproducts more efficiently in acetonitrile than in methanol.

\[\text{CuII(MeCN)}_3^2+\text{Cl}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}\] is a laser-produced sub-nanosecond transient absorption spectroscopy with broadband deep-UV-to-near-IR probing (212–760 nm). Excitation of copper(II) monochlorocomplexes \[\text{CuII(MeOH)}_3^2+\text{Cl}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}\] at 255 nm and excitation of copper(II) monochlorocomplexes \[\text{CuII(MeCN)}_4^2+\text{Cl}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}\] in acetonitrile at 266 nm leads to the population of the LMCT electronic state. The complexes in the LMCT state are short-lived (<250 fs) and decay either via internal conversion to lower-lying LF states or to the vibrationally hot ground electronic state. Vibrational relaxation occurs on a time scale of several picoseconds for both solvents. A minor fraction of the excited complexes relaxes to the photoproducts more efficiently in acetonitrile than in methanol.
spectrum is too small to observe the recovery reaction 
$$\text{[Cu}^{2+}(\text{MeCN})_{2}\text{Cl}]^{2+} + \text{MeCN} = \text{[Cu}^{2+}(\text{MeCN})_{3}\text{Cl}]^{2+} + \text{Cl}^{-}.$$ This makes it difficult to observe the re-equilibration of copper(II) chlorocomplexes with a different number of chloride ligands in acetonitrile.
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