

## РЕЦЕНЗИЯ

на выпускную квалификационную работу обучающегося 2 курса основной образовательной программы МАГИСТРАТУРЫ СПбГУ по направлению «Международные отношения» Абдулла Маннех (Abdoulie Manneh) на тему: Неалиберальная траектория в Гамбии (Neoliberal Trajectory in the Gambia)

### Оценка качества ВКР

№ п. п.	Критерии оценки (модель магистратуры: проверяемые компетенции)	Баллы оценки: • отлично (5) • хорошо (4) • удовлетворительно (3) • неудовлетворительно (2) <sup>1</sup>	Комментарии к оценке
1.	<b>Актуальность проблематики</b> (АОМ: ОКМ-13, 22; АМ: ОКМ-8,16, ПК-36; ПОМ: ПК-6)	5	The reviewed dissertation is written on a modern political and economic theme and touches upon issues of international influence on the regional situation using economic levers of influence. The chronological period in question is 1970-2016, namely from the time of the proclamation of the republic in the Gambia and until the end of the authoritarian regime two years ago. The topic is quite particular and unusual especially for the research in Russia. The macroeconomic policy and the IMF mission to stabilize the international system led to the development of special structural programs in post-colonial countries such as the Gambia and had a significant impact on the development of the state. First of all, these are the courses in conditions of Import substitution industrialization in the 60ies within the newly independent state in SubSaharian Africa
2.	<b>Научная новизна</b> (АОМ: ОКМ-13, 22; АМ: ОКМ-8,16, ПК-36; ПОМ: ПК-6)	5	The international economic impact is provided through the IMF structural adjustment programs aimed at strengthening the middle class, as well as a kind of action plan for the transition government.

<sup>1</sup> Выставление оценки «неудовлетворительно» по одному из критериев автоматически означает рекомендацию оценки «неудовлетворительно» за работу в целом. В этом случае рецензент подробно обосновывает собственное мнение в *Комментариях к оценке* и п.2. *Заключение/рекомендации членам ГЭК.*

3.	<b>Корректность постановки цели, взаимосвязанность цели и задач</b> (АОМ: ОКМ- 9, 13, 32; АМ: ПК-10, 11; ПОМ: ОКМ-12)	5	The aim is clear and well formulated, the objectives are fair and achieved during the analysis.
4.	<b>Степень разработанности источниковой базы и качество критики источников</b> (АОМ: ПК-17; АМ: ОКМ- 24; ПОМ: ОКМ-18, ПК-13)	5	Definitely good job.
5.	<b>Полнота и разнообразие списка использованной литературы</b> (АОМ: ПК-17; АМ: ОКМ- 24; ПОМ: ОКМ-18, ПК-13)	5	The analyzed source base is extensive and includes, first of all, primary sources, such as the IMF materials, government decrees, and video records leading the chronology of events. Also, for the application of neoliberalism to the topic, the works of the creators and followers of this theoretical concept are used.
6.	<b>Соответствие методов исследования цели и задачам ВКР</b> (АОМ: ОКМ-2; АМ: ОКМ- 10, ПК-26; ПОМ: ОКМ-11, 14)	5	The student examines the situation in the Gambia in the chronological period under consideration using a serious methodological basis. The paper provides a theoretical basis for neoliberalism, its definition and use, as well as differences with the interpretation of the concept and its application in the West, Latin America, Asia and in our case in Africa. The chosen theoretical concept allows the most complete solution of the research tasks.
7.	<b>Соответствие результатов ВКР поставленной цели и задачам</b> (АОМ: ОКМ-1,7, ПК-33; АМ: ОКМ- 17, ПК-12, 37; ПОМ: ОКМ-14, ПК-14, 17)	5	The researched undertaken corresponds to the given aim and objectives.
8.	<b>Качество оформления текста</b> (АОМ: ОКМ-1; АМ: ОКМ-8; ПОМ: ОКМ-7)	5	The work is easy to read, clearly and logically structured and has a large amount of applied data - graphs, diagrams, tables, etc. Also, the researcher builds models (Vector Error Correction Model and others), the application of which is special and contributes to the most complete understanding of the theme. The declared topic corresponds to the proposed title for the work, and, in my opinion, is fully disclosed.

Средняя оценка:	5
-----------------	---

**Заключение/рекомендации членам ГЭК:** The only thing I would like to note is the author's personal attitude toward the research, which appears in some terminology used and is felt from the context. In my opinion, in the analysis, the researcher should be more impartial.

---

---

---

1. Рекомендованная оценка: 5 (excellent)\_\_\_\_\_

«28» may 2018 г.

*Должность/подпись/ФИО*

*Dr. Snegur Ekaterina,  
ISC CEO,  
international business development expert*

персональных данных.

